Visual Prompt: Thousands of athletes and spectators from many different countries and cultures participate in the Olympic Games. What are some ways that participants might show their individual cultures?

Unit Overview

If our culture helps to shape our personal identities, how does our culture influence the ways we view and interact with others and the ways in which we perceive our world? In this unit, you will examine the role that culture plays in forming a personal identity and how that personal identity can be supported or challenged by encounters with other cultures through real or imagined experiences.

You will also consider several issues that are commonly shared among very different cultures, and you will analyze the cultural perspectives represented by the stories that arise from those experiences. Finally, you will bring your study of cultural identities full circle as you revisit your own perspective on cultural issues and create a persuasive text to convince an audience.
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Learning Targets
• Preview the big ideas and vocabulary for the unit.
• Identify and analyze the skills and knowledge needed to complete Embedded Assessment 1 successfully.

Making Connections
In Unit 1, you learned that all of us have a cultural identity. Writers express their cultural experiences through multiple narrative genres in both fiction and nonfiction. In this unit, you will further examine cultural influences by reading narratives expressing elements of culture. You will also look at issues of justice and how culture influences perceptions of justice. Finally, you will write an argument about an issue of justice.

Essential Questions
1. How can cultural experiences and perspectives be conveyed through memorable narratives?

2. What issues resonate across cultures, and how are arguments developed in response?

Developing Vocabulary
Predict what you think this unit is about. Use the words or phrases that stood out to you when you read the Unit Overview and the Key Terms on the Contents page.

Unpacking Embedded Assessment 1
Read the following assignment for Embedded Assessment 1:
Your assignment is to write a narrative about an incident, either real or imagined, that conveys a cultural perspective. Throughout this unit, you have studied narratives in multiple genres, and you have explored a variety of cultural perspectives. You will now select the genre you feel is most appropriate to convey a real or fictional experience that includes one or more elements of culture.

Summarize in your own words what you will need to know for this assessment. With your class, create a graphic organizer to identify the skills and knowledge needed to complete the assessment successfully. Strategize how to complete the assignment. To help you and your classmates complete the graphic organizer, review the criteria in the Scoring Guide on page 137.
Images of Cultural Identity

Learning Targets

• Review narratives as reflections of culture.
• Analyze poetry to identify imagery, structure, and technique.
• Write an original poem reflecting cultural imagery.

Before Reading

1. Before continuing your study of narrative genres, review the texts from Unit 1 and write the titles of any that contain a narrative about a cultural experience. Write the title, genre, and topic of the narrative in the space below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Genre</th>
<th>Subject/Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Which other texts include narrative elements, although they are more expository than narrative in structure and content?

During Reading

3. Writers of fiction and nonfiction use imagery to paint pictures in the reader’s mind. As you read the poem on the next page, mark the text for images that Lyon uses to show where and what she is from.
GRAMMAR & USAGE

Anaphora

Notice the writer’s use of anaphora— the repetition of a word or phrase at the beginning of a line. Lyon repeats “I am from” (or “I’m from”) in each stanza. This repetition creates a pattern that emphasizes her thematic idea— her origins and history. Each use of the phrase “I am from” reveals something about her identity.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

George Ella Lyon (1949 - ) is the author of award-winning children’s books, including Catalpa, a book of poetry that won the Appalachian Book of the Year award, and the novel With a Hammer for My Heart. Lyon is often asked about her unusual first name. On her website, she explains that she was named after her uncle George and her aunt Ella.

Poetry

Where I’m From

by George Ella Lyon

I am from clothes-pins
from Clorox and carbon-tetrachloride.¹
I am from the dirt under the back porch.
(Black, glistening,
5 it tasted like beets.)
I am from the forsythia bush,
the Dutch Elm
whose long gone limbs I remember
as if they were my own.
I’m from fudge and eyeglasses,
from Imogene and Alafair.
I’m from the know-it-alls
and the pass-it-ons,
from Perk up! and Pipe down!

¹ carbon tetrachloride: a chemical used for dry cleaning
I’m from He restoreth my soul
with a cottonball lamb
and ten verses I can say myself.
I’m from Artemus and Billie’s Branch,
fried corn and strong coffee.

From the finger my grandfather lost
to the auger,²
the eye my father shut to keep his sight.

Under my bed was a dress box
spilling old pictures,
a sift of lost faces
to drift beneath my dreams.

I am from those moments—
snapped before I budded—
leaf-fall from the family tree.

After Reading
4. What sensory details does the narrator include to show her family culture?
5. How do the repeated elements of this poem provide structure to the free verse?
How do the stanzas provide structure? Write your ideas here and then share with a partner or group.

Writing Prompt: Write your own “Where I’m From” poem, emulating the style of George Ella Lyon. Consider using anaphora to create rhythm. Use an effective pattern to convey aspects of your culture.

Check Your Understanding
Describe anaphora and its effect as a rhetorical technique. How did you use anaphora in writing your own poem? What was its effect?

² auger: a hand tool used to bore holes in wood or dirt
Learning Targets
• Analyze a narrative and identify key narrative components.
• Identify and analyze aspects of culture presented in literature.

Elements of Narrative
You have most likely written several narratives by now in your various courses. As you recall, writers use the narrative writing mode for personal narrative—in which the writer shares something from his or her own experience—as well as fictional narrative, which is a made-up story. Whether fiction or nonfiction, writers use some common narrative techniques in telling their stories, such as creating a setting, a sequence of events, a point of view, a theme, and, of course, characters—real or imagined—who populate the narrative.

The following text is a memoir, which is a type of personal narrative. In her memoir, Dumas writes about her experience as a newcomer to the United States and how she and her family adjust to a different culture.

Before Reading
1. All narratives share some key elements. Think about what makes a story interesting, and then brainstorm at least three things that all stories have in common.

During Reading
2. As you read the text, annotate it and make notes in the My Notes space as you find important narrative elements. What narrative elements make this memoir a compelling read?

ABOUT THE AUTHOR
Born in Abadan, Iran, writer Firoozeh Dumas spent much of her childhood living in California. She credits her father—a Fulbright scholar and engineer who attended Texas A&M University—and his fondness for humorous storytelling with inspiring her to write stories of her own. After the events of September 11, 2001, friends urged Dumas to publish her stories as a way to remind readers of the humor and humanity of Middle Eastern cultures.
GRAMMAR & USAGE
Syntax
If you examine the writer’s syntax, you will notice her use of subordinate structures, such as subordinate clauses and appositives. The opening sentence, for example, contains an introductory adverbial clause and an appositive, in which she includes details related to the point of the sentence. The opening complex sentence is also a periodic sentence, one in which the main clause comes last, requiring the reader to complete the whole sentence to get the meaning. Consider the effect of the writer’s syntactical choices on the flow, rhythm, and content of this essay.

ACTIVITY 2.3 continued

My Notes

KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
Writers establish setting by using the narrative technique of description, such as details about location or a cultural backdrop to portray a world where characters live and interact. How does the setting for this memoir contribute to your understanding of the narrator?
My mother and I sat in the back while all the children took their assigned seats. Everyone continued to stare at us. Mrs. Sandberg wrote my name on the board: F-L-O-Z-E-H. Under my name, she wrote “I-R-A-N.” She then pulled down a map of the world and said something to my mom. My mom looked at me and asked me what she had said. I told her that the teachers probably wanted her to find Iran on the map.

The problem was that my mother, like most women of her generation, had been only briefly educated. In her era, a girl’s sole purpose in life was to find a husband. Having an education ranked far below more desirable attributes such as the ability to serve tea or prepare baklava. Before her marriage, my mother, Nazireh, had dreamed of becoming a midwife. Her father, a fairly progressive man, had even refused the two earlier suitors who had come for her so that his daughter could pursue her dream. My mother planned to obtain her diploma, then go to Tabriz to learn midwifery from a teacher whom my grandfather knew. Sadly, the teacher died unexpectedly, and my mother’s dreams had to be buried as well.

Bachelor No. 3 was my father. Like the other suitors, he had never spoken to my mother, but one of his cousins knew someone who knew my mother’s sister, so that was enough. More important, my mother fit my father’s physical requirements for a wife. Like most Iranians, my father preferred a fair-skinned woman with straight, light-colored hair. Having spent a year in America as a Fulbright scholar, he had returned with a photo of a woman he found attractive and asked his older sister, Sedigeh, to find someone who resembled her. Sedigeh had asked around, and that is how at age seventeen my mother officially gave up her dreams, married my father, and had a child by the end of the year.

As the students continued staring at us, Mrs. Sandberg gestured to my mother to come up to the board. My mother reluctantly obeyed. I cringed. Mrs. Sandberg, using a combination of hand gestures, started pointing to the map and saying, “Iran? Iran? Iran?” Clearly, Mrs. Sandberg had planned on incorporating us into the day’s lesson. I only wished she had told us that earlier so we could have stayed home.

After a few awkward attempts by my mother to find Iran on the map, Mrs. Sandberg finally understood that it wasn’t my mother’s lack of English that was causing a problem, but rather her lack of world geography. Smiling graciously, she pointed my mother back to her seat. Mrs. Sandberg then showed everyone, including my mother and me, where Iran was on the map. My mother nodded her head, acting as if she had known the location all along but had preferred to keep it a secret. Now all the students stared at us, not just because I had come to school with my mother, not because we couldn’t speak their language, but because we were stupid. I was especially mad at my mother, because she had negated the positive impression I had made previously by reciting the color wheel. I decided that starting the next day, she would have to stay home.

The bell finally rang and it was time for us to leave. Leffingwell Elementary was just a few blocks from our house and my father, grossly underestimating our ability to get lost, had assumed that my mother and I would be able to find our way home. She and I wandered aimlessly, perhaps hoping for a shooting star or a talking animal to help guide us back. None of the streets or houses looked familiar. As we stood pondering our predicament, an enthusiastic young girl came leaping out of her house and said something. Unable to understand her, we did what we had done all day: we smiled.
The girl’s mother joined us, then gestured for us to follow her inside. I assumed that the girl, who appeared to be the same age as I, was a student at Leffingwell Elementary; having us inside her house was probably akin to having the circus make a personal visit.

Her mother handed us a telephone, and my mother, who had, thankfully, memorized my father’s work number, called him and explained our situation. My father then spoke to the American woman and gave her our address. This kind stranger agreed to take us back to our house.

Perhaps fearing that we might show up at their doorstep again, the woman and her daughter walked us all the way to our front porch and even helped my mother unlock the unfamiliar door. After making one last futile attempt at communication, they waved good-bye. Unable to thank them in words, we smiled even more broadly.

After spending an entire day in America, surrounded by Americans, I realized that my father’s description of America had been correct. The bathrooms were clean and the people were very, very kind.

After Reading
3. Use this graphic organizer to record specific details from the text for each of the narrative elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrative Elements</th>
<th>Details from the Narrative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point of View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence of Events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check Your Understanding
Timed Writing: In this excerpt from the memoir, Firoozeh Dumas chooses specific incidents to make a point about American culture. What point does she make, and how do the incidents she chooses to include make that point?
Learning Targets

- Analyze the narrative technique of dialogue.
- Write a narrative using direct and indirect dialogue.

Authors use a variety of techniques to create narratives that make their stories come alive on the page. Authors use dialogue to provide the reader with information about a character, to provide background information, and to advance the plot. You may have noticed that the previous narrative contained almost no dialogue, which served to emphasize the confusion and embarrassment, as well as the humor, of the situation. Three techniques you will examine in this unit for a stylebook focus are dialogue, pacing, and description.

Dialogue may be either direct or indirect. Indirect dialogue is a paraphrase of what is said by a character or narrator. This dialogue does not need quotation marks.

Example: When my mother began dropping hints that I would soon be going to school, I vowed never to go to school because it was a waste of time.

Direct dialogue is the exact words spoken by a person. This dialogue uses quotation marks and dialogue tags.

Example: “This time next fall, you will be in school,” hinted my mother. “Why would I go to school? You’ll never see me wasting my time at school!” I vowed.

Before Reading

1. Take a moment and think about a person you know who tells great stories. What is it about their storytelling that makes it so good? One thing that they probably do is change the way that they say things as they tell the story. With a partner, quickly generate a list of dialogue tags other than “said” that good storytellers use.

During Reading

2. As you read the story for the elements of a narrative, also annotate the story, noting the impact of the dialogue and dialogue tags on the story and the characters.

Literary Terms

Dialogue tags are the phrases that attribute the quotation to the speaker; for example, she said or he bellowed.
My Notes

KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
The author uses dialogue to create the relationship between the mother and son. What details in the story illustrate the culture of family and mother-son relationships?

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR**
Mark Mathabane (1960–) was born in South Africa just outside Johannesburg. He spent his childhood in an unheated shack with no electricity and no running water. Mathabane and his family lived in fear of the police who enforced the law of apartheid—sometimes violently. In 1978, Mathabane secured a tennis scholarship to a college in South Carolina. He later graduated from Dowling College in New York. During his writing career, Mathabane has produced several works of nonfiction as well as three recent novels. *Kaffir Boy* is Mathabane’s story of his childhood living under apartheid.

**Autobiography**

*from Kaffir Boy*

*by Mark Mathabane*

When my mother began dropping hints that I would soon be going to school, I vowed never to go because school was a waste of time. She laughed and said, “We’ll see. You don’t know what you’re talking about.” My philosophy on school was that of a gang of ten-eleven-and twelve-year-olds whom I so revered that their every word seemed that of an oracle.

These boys had long left their homes and were now living in various neighborhood junkyards, making it on their own. They slept in abandoned cars, smoked glue and benzene, ate pilchards and brown bread, sneaked into the white world to caddy and, if unsuccessful, came back to the township to steal beer and soda bottles from shebeens, or goods from the Indian traders on First Avenue. Their lifestyle was exciting, adventurous and full of surprises; and I was attracted to it. My mother told me that they were no-gooders, that they would amount to nothing, that I should not associate with them, but I paid no heed. What does she know? I used to tell myself. One thing she did not know was that the gang’s way of life had captivated me wholly, particularly their philosophy on school: they hated it and considered an education a waste of time.

They, like myself, had grown up in an environment where the value of an education was never emphasized, where the first thing a child learned was not how to read and write and spell, but how to fight and steal and rebel; where the money to send children to school was grossly lacking, for survival was first priority. I kept my membership in the gang, knowing that for as long as I was under its influence, I would never go to school.

One day my mother woke me up at four in the morning.

“Are they here? I didn’t hear any noises,” I asked in the usual way.

“No,” my mother said. “I want you to get into that washtub over there.”

“What!” I balked, upon hearing the word *washtub*. I feared taking baths like one feared the plague. Throughout seven years of hectic living the number of baths I had taken could be counted on one hand with several fingers missing. I simply had no natural inclination for water; cleanliness was a trait I still had to acquire. Besides, we had only one bathtub in the house, and it constantly sprung a leak.
“I said get into that tub!” My mother shook her finger in my face.

Reluctantly, I obeyed, yet wondered why all of a sudden I had to take a bath. My mother, armed with a scropbrush and a piece if Lifebouy soap, purged me of years and years of grime till I ached and bled. As I howled, feeling pain shoot through my limbs as the thistles of the brush encountered stubborn callouses, there was a loud knock at the door.

Instantly my mother leaped away from the tub and headed, on tiptoe, toward the bedroom. Fear seized me as I, too, thought of the police. I sat frozen in the bathtub, not knowing what to do.

“Open up, Mujaji [my mother’s maiden name],” Granny’s voice came shrilling through the door. “It’s me.”

My mother heaved a sigh of relief; her tense limbs relaxed. She turned and headed to the kitchen door, unlatched it and in came Granny and Aunt Bushy.

“You scared me half to death,” my mother said to Granny. “I had forgotten all about your coming.”

“Are you ready?” Granny asked my mother.

“Yes—just about,” my mother said, beckoning me to get out of the washtub.

She handed me a piece of cloth to dry myself. As I dried myself, questions raced through my mind: What's going on? What's Granny doing at our house this ungodly hour of the morning? And why did she ask my mother, “Are you ready?” While I stood debating, my mother went into the bedroom and came out with a stained white shirt and a pair of faded black shorts.

“Here,” she said, handing me the togs, “put these on.”

“Why?” I asked.

“Put them on I said!”

I put the shirt on; it was grossly loose-fitting. It reached all the way down to my ankles. Then I saw the reason why: it was my father's shirt!

“But this is Papa's shirt,” I complained. “It don't fit me.”

“Put it on,” my mother insisted. “I'll make it fit.”

“The pants don't fit me either,” I said. “Whose are they anyway?”

“Put them on,” my mother said. “I'll make them fit.”

Moments later I had the garments on; I looked ridiculous. My mother started working on the pants and shirt to make them fit. She folded the short in so many intricate ways and stashed it inside the pants, they too having been folded several times at the waist. She then chocked the pants at the waist with a piece of sislal rope to hold them up. She then lavishly smeared my face, arms and legs with a mixture of pig’s fat.
and Vaseline. “This will insulate you from the cold,” she said. My skin gleamed like the morning star and I felt as hot as the centre of the sun and smelled God knows like what. After embalming me, she headed to the bedroom.

“Where are we going, Gran’ma?” I said, hoping that she would tell me what my mother refused to tell me. I still had no idea I was about to be taken to school.

“Didn’t your mother tell you?” Granny said with a smile. “You’re going to start school.”

“What!” I gasped, leaping from the chair where I was sitting as if it were made of hot lead. “I am not going to school!” I blurted out and raced toward the kitchen door.

My mother had just reappeared from the bedroom and guessing what I was up to, she yelled, “Someone get the door!”

Aunt Bushy immediately barred the door. I turned and headed for the window. As I leaped for the windowsill, my mother lunged at me and brought me down. I tussled, “Let go of me! I don’t want to go to school! Let me go!” but my mother held fast onto me.

“It’s no use now,” she said, grinning triumphantly as she pinned me down. Turning her head in Granny’s direction, she shouted, “Granny! Get a rope quickly!”

Granny grabbed a piece of rope nearby and came to my mother’s aid. I bit and clawed every hand that grabbed me, and howled protestations against going to school; however, I was no match for the two determined matriarchs.1 In a jiffy they had me bound, hand and feet.

“What’s the matter with him?” Granny, bewildered, asked my mother. “Why did he suddenly turn into an imp when I told him you’re taking him to school?”

“You shouldn’t have told him that he’s being taken to school,” my mother said. “He doesn’t want to go there. That’s why I requested you come today, to help me take him there. Those boys in the streets have been a bad influence on him.”

As the two matriarchs hauled me through the door, they told Aunt Bushy not to go to school but stay behind and mind the house and the children.

**After Reading**

3. Using details from the narratives that you have read so far, add to your thinking about the Essential Question, “How can cultural experiences and perspectives be conveyed through memorable narratives?”

---

1 *matriarch*: a woman who rules or dominates a family, group, or state
4. Look back through the text you just read and find examples of direct and indirect dialogue. List and label them in the chart that follows. Practice the two methods of writing dialogue by paraphrasing the examples of direct dialogue and rewriting indirect dialogue as direct dialogue, being sure to punctuate it correctly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dialogue</th>
<th>Practice Writing Dialogue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When my mother began dropping hints that I would soon be going to school, I vowed never to go to school because it was a waste of time.</td>
<td>“This time next fall, you will be in school,” hinted my mother. “Why would I go to school? You’ll never see me wasting my time at school!” I vowed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check Your Understanding

Narrative Writing Prompt: Using the excerpt from *Kaffir* Boy as inspiration, write a narrative that illustrates a scene from your childhood. Be sure to:

- Portray the culture of family in your narrative.
- Provide a well-structured sequence of events.
- Include both direct and indirect dialogue.
Learning Targets

- Analyze the narrative elements writers use to create a sense of pacing in a narrative.
- Apply pacing to my own writing.

Before Reading

**Narrative pacing** is an important part of telling a good story. A writer controls the rhythm of a narrative with specific choices in sentence length, word choice, and details. For example, a series of short sentences can heighten suspense and increase the pace, while a series of long sentences may slow the pace.

1. **Free writing:** Think about an event in your life that you might describe using either fast or slow pacing. Write about the incident. With a partner, share your free write and discuss the pacing you used in your description.

During Reading

2. As you read the following essay, mark the text and write notes about where the pacing or rhythm of the narrative changes and how these changes in pacing affect you as a reader.

---

**Essay**

**Pick One**

by David Matthews

*The New York Times*

In 1977, when I was nine, my father and I moved away from the protected Maryland suburbs of Washington—and away from his latest wife, my latest stepmother—to my grandmother’s apartment in inner-city Baltimore. I had never seen so many houses connected to one another, block after block, nor so many people on streets, marble stoops and corners. Many of those people, I could not help noticing, were black. I had never seen so many black people in all my life.

I was black, too, though I didn’t look it; and I was white, though I wasn’t quite. My mother, a woman I’d never really met, was white and Jewish, and my father was a black man who, though outwardly hued like weak coffee, was—as I grew to learn—stridently black nationalist in his views and counted Malcolm X and James Baldwin among his friends. I was neither blessed nor cursed, depending on how you looked at it, with skin milky enough to classify me as white or swarthy enough to render me black. But before moving from our integrated and idyllic neighborhood, I really knew nothing of “race.” I had never seen so many black people in all my life.

But before moving from our integrated and idyllic neighborhood, I really knew nothing of “race.” I was pretty much just a kid, my full-time gig. And though I was used to some measure of instability—various apartments, sundry stepmothers and girlfriends—I had always gone to the same redbrick single-level school. Nothing prepared me for walking into that public-school classroom, already three weeks into fourth grade. I had never felt so utterly on my own.

---

GRAMMAR & USAGE

**Semicolon**

Use a semicolon to join independent clauses when the second clause restates the first or when the two clauses are of equal emphasis. For example:

- I was black, too, though I didn’t look it; and I was white, though I wasn’t quite.
- I didn’t contemplate the segregation; it was simply part of the new physical geography, and I was no explorer; I was a weak-kneed outsider, a yellowed freak.
Mrs. Eberhard, my new homeroom teacher, made an introduction of sorts, and every student turned around to study me. The black kids, who made up more than 80 percent of the school’s population, ranged in shades from butterscotch to Belgian chocolate, but none had my sallow complexion, nor my fine, limp hair. And the white kids, a salting of red and alabaster faces, had noses that were tapered and blunted, free of the slightly equine flare of my own, and lips that unobtrusively parted their mouths, in contrast to the thickened slabs I sucked between my teeth.

In the hallway, on the way to class, black and white kids alike herded around me. Then the question came: “What are you?”

I was stumped. No one had ever asked what I was before. It came buzzing at me again, like a hornet shaken from its hive. The kids surrounded me, pressing me into a wall of lockers. What are you? Hey, he won’t answer us. Look at me. What are you? He’s black. He looks white! No way, he’s too dark. Maybe he’s Chinese!

They were rigidly partisan. The only thing that unified them was their inquisitiveness. And I had a hunch, based on their avidity, that the question had a wrong answer. There was black or white. Pick one. Nowhere in their ringing questions was the elastic clause, mixed. The choice was both necessary and impossible: identify myself or have it done for me. I froze, and said nothing—for the time being.

At lunchtime that first day, teetering on the edge of the cafeteria, my eyes scanned the room and saw an island of white kids in a sea of black faces. I didn’t contemplate the segregation; it was simply part of the new physical geography, and I was no explorer; I was a weak-kneed outsider, a yellowed freak.

In some way I wasn’t fully aware of, urban black people scared me. I didn’t know how to play the dozens or do double Dutch. I didn’t know the one about how your mama’s so dumb she failed her pap test. I didn’t know that with the wrong intonation, or the wrong addressee, any mention of one’s mama could lead to a table-clearing brawl. The black kids at school carried a loose, effortless charge that crackled through their interactions. They were alive and cool. The only experience I had with cool had been vicarious, watching my father and his bebop-era revolutionary friends, and feeling their vague sense of disappointment when I couldn’t mimic their behavior. The black kids reminded me of home, but the white kids reminded me of myself, the me I saw staring back in the mirror. On that day, I came to believe that if I had said I was black, I would have had to spend the rest of my life convincing my own people.

Lunch tray in hand, I made a final and (at least I like to tell myself) psychologically logical choice, one I would live with, and wrestle with, for a full decade to come: I headed toward the kids who looked most like me. Goofy bell-bottoms and matching Garanimals? Check. Seventies mop-top? Check. Then a ruddy boy with blond bangs lopped off at the eyebrows looked up from his Fantastic Four comic book, caught my eye across the cafeteria, scooched over in his seat and nodded me over.

That was it. By the code of the cafeteria table, which was just as binding in that time and place as the laws of Jim Crow or Soweto, I was white.

---

1 avidity: extreme eagerness or enthusiasm
After Reading

3. After reading and annotating the essay, discuss your notes with a partner. Did you mark the same spots in the texts? Did you have the same reactions to the text?

4. With a partner, reread the narrative looking for an example of each of the following sentence types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simple (one independent clause)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compound (two or more independent clauses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex (one independent and at least one dependent clause)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound-Complex (two or more independent clauses and at least one dependent clause)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Narrative Writing Prompt: Write a narrative about a time when you made an important decision about yourself. Vary the pacing in your narrative by working in simple, compound, complex, and compound-complex sentences. Be sure to:

- Use descriptive details to help the reader understand your story.
- Provide a smooth progression of experiences or events, using transitions to move through the story.
- Vary the pacing through the use of details and sentence types and lengths

Check Your Understanding

After completing your narrative, work with a partner and share your stories. Identify the change in pacing and the sentence types each of you used in your stories.

GRAMMAR & USAGE

Sentence Variety

A variety of sentence types gives prose a natural rhythm. Examine the variety of sentence structures in this essay.

**Complex Sentence:** “I was neither blessed nor cursed, depending on how you looked at it, with skin milky enough to classify me as white or swarthy enough to render me black.”

**Simple Sentence:** “I had never felt so utterly on my own.”

**Compound Sentence:** “Mrs. Eberhard, my new homeroom teacher, made an introduction of sorts, and every student turned around to study me.”
LEARNING STRATEGIES: Think-Pair-Share, Marking the Text, Rereading

Learning Targets
• Identify and evaluate the use of sensory details and figurative language.
• Compose a description of a culturally relevant artifact from my life, using vivid language and telling details.

Description creates the world within which a narrative lives. Writers use sensory details and figurative language to craft the people, places, and things in a narrative piece of writing. These details allow a reader to see the story and to interact with the real or imagined world of the narrative.

Before Reading
1. How does the food that you and your family eat reflect your culture and heritage? Are there things that show up every holiday or items that you turn to for comfort? What do these foods reveal about you and your culture? In a quickwrite, share how your culture is reflected in food or an activity.

During Reading
2. In the following excerpt from “If You Are What You Eat, Then What Am I?” author Geeta Kothari creates an image of a can of tuna with vivid language and telling details. As you read the passage for sensory details, highlight the descriptions that speak to your senses.

Essay
from If You Are What You Eat, Then What Am I?
by Geeta Kothari

“To belong is to understand the tacit codes of the people you live with.”—Michael Ignatieff

The first time my mother and I open a can of tuna, I am nine years old. We stand in the doorway of the kitchen, in semi-darkness, the can tilted toward daylight. I want to eat what the kids at school eat: bologna, hot dogs, salami—foods my parents find repugnant because they contain pork and meat by-products, crushed bone and hair glued together by chemicals and fat. Although she has never been able to tolerate the smell of fish, my mother buys the tuna, hoping to satisfy my longing for American food.

Indians, of course, do not eat such things.

The tuna smells fishy, which surprises me because I can’t remember anyone’s tuna sandwich actually smelling like fish. And the tuna in those sandwiches doesn’t look like this, pink and shiny, like an internal organ. In fact, this looks similar to the bad foods my mother doesn’t want me to eat. She is silent, holding her face away from the can while peering into it like a half-blind bird.
“What’s wrong with it?” I ask.

She has no idea. My mother does not know that the tuna everyone else’s mothers made for them was tuna salad.

“Do you think it’s botulism?”

I have never seen botulism, but I have read about it, just as I have read about but never eaten steak and kidney pie.

There is so much my parents don’t know. They are not like other parents, and they disappoint me and my sister. They are supposed to help us negotiate the world outside, teach us the signs, the clues to proper behavior: what to eat and how to eat it.

We have expectations, and my parents fail to meet them, especially my mother, who works full time. I don’t understand what it means, to have a mother who works outside and inside the home; I notice only the ways in which she disappoints me. She doesn’t show up for school plays. She doesn’t make chocolate-frosted cupcakes for my class. At night, if I want her attention, I have to sit in the kitchen and talk to her while she cooks the evening meal, attentive to every third or fourth word I say.

We throw the tuna away. This time my mother is disappointed. I go to school with tuna eaters. I see their sandwiches, yet cannot explain the discrepancy between them and the stinking, oily fish in my mother’s hand. We do not understand so many things, my mother and I.

After Reading
3. What sense of pacing do you get when you read this essay? Give some examples for your response.

4. How does this writer share elements of her culture through her descriptive details? Give examples.

Writing Prompt: In the passage, a simple can of tuna becomes a stinking glob that represents a barrier between cultures. Write a description of an artifact that represents an aspect of your culture. Be sure to:
• Use vivid language and telling details to create images in the reader’s mind.
• Consider the pacing of your description.
• Vary sentences and punctuation for effect.
**Language and Writer’s Craft: Clauses**

Clauses add variety to writing as well as help to convey meaning. Writers use a variety of clauses to enhance their writing. Reread the essay and find where the author uses the following clauses. How do these clauses affect the narrative?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Clause</th>
<th>Example from the Text</th>
<th>Impact on the Narrative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverbial clauses</strong> (after, as far as, before, even though, if, no matter how, that, while, where) describe a verb in the sentence’s main clause. An adverbial clause answers questions such as “when?”, “why?”, “how?” or “to what degree?”</td>
<td><strong>Example</strong>: At night, if I want her attention, I have to sit in the kitchen and talk to her while she cooks the evening meal, attentive to every third or fourth word I say.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noun clauses</strong> perform the same functions in a sentence as nouns. A noun clause answers such questions as “who?”, “whom?” or “what?”</td>
<td><strong>Example</strong>: I don’t understand what it means, to have a mother who works outside and inside the home; I notice only the ways in which she disappoints me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjectival clauses</strong> (that, which, who, whom, whose) describe a noun in the sentence’s main clause. An adjectival clause answers questions such as “which one?” or “what kind?”</td>
<td><strong>Example</strong>: I don’t understand what it means, to have a mother who works outside and <strong>inside the home</strong>; I notice only the ways in which she disappoints me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Check Your Understanding**

Reread the description of your artifact and find one place where a clause could enhance your writing. Revise your description with an adverbial, noun, or adjectival clause.
**Language and Writer’s Craft: Sentence Types and Structure**

In previous activities, you examined how phrases and clauses help to vary syntax and enhance an author’s style. A variety of structures gives prose a natural rhythm. For each sentence type, write an example in the space below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence Type</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>(one independent clause)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>(two or more independent clauses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>(one independent clause and at least one dependent clause)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound-Complex</td>
<td>(two or more independent clauses and at least one dependent clause)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Go back to the texts you have read so far, and try to find examples of each type of sentence. Write the examples in the My Notes space.

2. Read the sentences around the examples you found. How does the writer vary his or her sentence types?
Varying Sentence Beginnings

3. Sentences need not always begin with the subject. Beginning with other structures not only provides variety and interest, but can also give emphasis to an important detail or point. With a partner, review the three examples of sentence beginnings and find examples of each in the texts from the unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence Beginnings</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Example from Texts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning with a word</td>
<td>Stunned, Gretchen burst into tears.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning with a phrase</td>
<td>Unable to believe her eyes, Gretchen burst into tears.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning with a clause</td>
<td>Because she was not expecting a surprise party, Gretchen burst into tears.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revision Writing Prompt: Writers who use varied syntax effectively incorporate multiple sentence types in their writing. Select one piece of writing you have completed in this unit to revise for syntactical variety. Be sure to:

- Use at least three different types of sentences.
- Incorporate a variety of sentence beginnings, including beginning with a word, beginning with a phrase, and beginning with a clause.
LEARNING STRATEGIES:
Graphic Organizer, Summarizing, Rereading

Elements of a Graphic Novel

Learning Targets
• Examine the narrative elements of a graphic novel.
• Relate aspects of cultural perspective to literature.
• Create a graphic panel with dialogue.

Before Reading
1. All narratives share key narrative elements: setting, character, point of view, sequence of events, and theme. How do you think a graphic novel tells a story through those elements?

During Reading
2. As you read a chapter from Persepolis, complete the chart below with details of the key narrative elements of the story. Also generate a list of the characteristics of a graphic novel that the author uses to create the narrative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrative Elements</th>
<th>Details from the Narrative</th>
<th>Characteristics of the Graphic Novel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point of View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence of Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
How does a child’s point of view or perspective mirror the reader’s challenge with the story of the Iranian revolution?

ABOUT THE AUTHOR
Marjane Satrapi grew up in Tehran, Iran. As a child, she observed the increasing loss of civil liberties in her country. At the age of 14, her parents sent her to Austria to escape the turmoil in Iran. After returning to Iran for a brief period as an adult, Satrapi moved to France, where she works as an illustrator and author of children’s books.
A plot is the sequence of related events that make up a story or novel. A subplot is a secondary plot within a story. Subplots may provide background information or characters to help the reader understand the plot. Identify the plot and the subplot in this excerpt.
KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
Why is the grandmother trying to give background information about the Shah before she answers her granddaughter’s questions about why her grandfather is in prison?
My Notes

KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
How does the author provide background knowledge about Iranian politics and the setting in the narrative?
KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
What is the effect of this page of illustrations?
ACTIVITY 2.8 continued

KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
What mistake do the demonstrators make about the corpse? Why is this ironic?

KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
How do the events of the narrative influence the narrator’s perspective on her world?
KEY IDEAS AND DETAILS
What conclusion did the narrator come to about the apparent humor of the situation described by the father?
After Reading

Look closely at the way dialogue is displayed in the graphic novel. For example:

• There are no quotation marks around dialogue.
• The dialogue balloons connect to or are near the character’s body to indicate who is speaking.
• Dialogue balloons are read from left to right and from top to bottom. This pattern makes the order of speakers clear.
• To distinguish narration from dialogue, narration is located along the top of a panel, not in a balloon.

3. How would the story of Persepolis be different if it were a prose piece? Create a Venn diagram to compare and contrast the effect of telling this story in a graphic novel form and in prose.

Writing Prompt: Take the narrative that you wrote for Activity 2.5 and create a series of panel drawings that include dialogue. Be sure to:

• Include narrative elements of setting, character, point of view, sequence of events, and theme throughout the graphic panel.
• Use dialogue balloons and narrator blocks effectively.
Learning Targets

- Analyze a poem for the author’s use of details, diction, and imagery to convey a cultural perspective.
- Write an original poem.

Before Reading

1. In this activity, you will read two narrative poems and then compare how each writer uses narrative elements. How do you expect the narrative elements and techniques you have studied in the prose texts to be the same or different in poetry?

During Reading

2. As you read the following poems, look for cultural references and perspectives. Make connections to the memoirs and short story you have read.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni (1956–) was born in India, but she has spent much of her life in the United States. Her writing has won numerous awards, including the American Book Award for her short story collection _Arranged Marriage_. Divakaruni sets her works primarily in India and the United States. Divakaruni began her writing career as a poet, but she has branched out into other genres such as short stories and novels.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Rita Dove (1952–) was born in Akron, Ohio. She is a gifted poet and writer who has won numerous prestigious awards. In 1976, she won the Pulitzer Prize for Poetry for her collection of poems _Thomas and Beulah_, which are roughly based on her grandparents’ lives. Ms. Dove has served as the nation’s Poet Laureate, read her poetry at the White House under different Presidents, and appeared on several television programs. She taught creative writing for many years and currently is a professor of English at the University of Virginia.
Poetry

Woman with kite

by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni

Meadow of crabgrass, faded dandelions, querulous child-voices. She takes from her son’s disgruntled hands the spool of a kite that will not fly. Pulls on the heavy string, ground-glass rough between her thumb and finger. Feels the kite, translucent purple square, rise in a resistant arc, flapping against the wind. Kicks off her chappals, tucks up her kurta so she can run with it, light flecking off her hair as when she was sexless-young. Up, up past the puff-cheeked clouds, she follows it, her eyes slit-smiling at the sun. She has forgotten her tugging children, their give me, give me wails. She sprints backwards, sure-footed, she cannot fail, connected to the air, she is flying, the wind blows through her, takes her red dupatta, mark of marriage. And she laughs like a woman should never laugh so the two widows on the park bench stare and huddle their white-veiled heads to gossip-whisper. The children have fallen, breathless, in the grass behind. She laughs like wild water, shaking her braids loose, she laughs like a fire, the spool a blur between her hands, the string unraveling all the way to release it into space, her life, into its bright, weightless orbit.
Poetry

Grape Sherbet

by Rita Dove

The day? Memorial.
After the grill
Dad appears with his masterpiece—
swirled snow, gelled light.
We cheer. The recipe's
a secret, and he fights
a smile, his cap turned up
so the bib resembles a duck.

That morning we galloped
through the grassed-over mounds
and named each stone
for a lost milk tooth. Each dollop
of sherbet, later,
is a miracle,
like salt on a melon that makes it sweeter.

Everyone agrees—it's wonderful!
It's just how we imagined lavender
would taste. The diabetic grandmother
stares from the porch, a torch
of pure refusal.

We thought no one was lying
there under our feet,
we thought it
was a joke. I've been trying
to remember the taste,
but it doesn't exist.
Now I see why
you bothered,
father.
After Reading

3. With your teacher and classmates, use TP-CASTT to analyze “Woman with Kite.” As you have learned, the acronym TP-CASTT stands for title, paraphrase, connotation, attitude, shifts, title, and theme.

- **Title:** Make a prediction about what you think the title means before you read the poem.

- **Paraphrase:** Restate the poem in your own words. What is the poem about? Rephrase difficult sections word for word.

- **Connotation:** Look beyond the literal meanings of key words and images to their associations.

- **Attitude:** What is the speaker’s attitude? What is the author’s attitude? How does the author feel about the speaker, the characters, and the subject?

- **Title:** Re-examine the title. What do you think it means now within the context of the poem?

- **Theme:** Think of the literal and metaphorical layers of the poem, and then determine the overall theme.

4. Create a graphic organizer that identifies the narrative elements in “Woman with Kite.” Focus on how the narrative elements are addressed in the format of a poem.

5. With a partner, analyze “Grape Sherbet.” Be sure to annotate the text for the elements of a narrative, cultural references, and perspective.

Check Your Understanding

**Narrative Writing Prompt:** Revisit the narratives you have composed throughout this unit and select one to turn into a narrative poem. Or you might choose one of the texts you have read this year, or use a new story idea. After completing your poem, use sharing and responding within your writing group to discuss your poem. Be sure to:

- Include a cultural perspective in your narrative poem.
- Create a sequence of events with vivid details.
- Intentionally use punctuation to create a stylistic effect.
Learning Targets
- Analyze how an author’s persona relates to audience and purpose.
- Identify allusions and connect them to the writer’s purpose.
- Practice effective speaking and listening in a Socratic Seminar discussion.

Before Reading
1. **Persona** is a literary device that writers create in their stories. A persona allows an author to express ideas and attitudes that may not reflect his or her own. Think about your own personas. What is your persona with your family versus your persona with friends and at school?

During Reading
2. Listen as your teacher reads the memoir aloud. Then, as you read it the second time, underline unfamiliar vocabulary, and use context clues and reference materials as needed to diffuse the text. Mark the text for allusions, and use metacognitive markers by placing a ? when you have a question, a ! when you have a strong reaction, and a * when you have a comment.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR
Richard Rodriguez has written extensively about his own life and his struggles to reconcile his origins as the son of Mexican immigrants and his rise through American academia. In his memoir, *The Hunger of Memory*, written in English, his second language, Rodriguez examines how his assimilation into American culture affected his relationship to his Mexican roots.

Excerpt from *The Hunger of Memory* by Richard Rodriguez

I have taken Caliban’s advice. I have stolen their books. I will have some run of this isle.

Once upon a time, I was a “socially disadvantaged” child. An enchantedly happy child. Mine was a childhood of intense family closeness. And extreme public alienation.

Thirty years later I write this book as a middle-class American man. Assimilated.

Dark-skinned. To be seen at a Belgravia dinner party. Or in New York. Exotic in a tuxedo. My face is drawn to severe Indian features which would pass notice on the page of a *National Geographic*, but at a cocktail party in Bel Air somebody wonders: “Have
you ever thought of doing any high-fashion modeling? Take this card.” (In Beverly Hills
will this monster make a man.)

A lady in a green dress asks, “Didn’t we meet at the Thompsons’ party last month in
Malibu?”

And, “What do you do, Mr. Rodriguez?”

I write: I am a writer.

A part-time writer. When I began this book, five years ago, a fellowship bought me
a year of continuous silence in my San Francisco apartment. But the words wouldn’t
come. The money ran out. So I was forced to take temporary jobs. (I have friends who,
with a phone call, can find me well-paying work.) In past months I have found myself in
leisure—a weekend guest in Connecticut; at a cocktail party in Bel Air.

Perhaps because I have always, accidentally, been a classmate to children of rich
parents, I long ago came to assume my association with their world; came to assume
that I could have money, if it was money I wanted. But money, big money, has never
been the goal of my life. My story is not a version of Sammy Glick’s. I work to support
my habit of writing. The great luxury of my life is the freedom to sit at this desk.

“Mr? . . .”

Rodriguez. The name on the door. The name on my passport. The name I carry
from my parents—who are no longer my parents, in a cultural sense. This is how I
pronounce it: Rich-heard Road-re-guess. This is how I hear it most often.

The voice through the microphone says, “Ladies and gentlemen, it is with pleasure
that I introduce Mr. Richard Rodriguez.”

I am invited very often these days to speak about modern education in college
auditoriums and in Holiday Inn ballrooms. I go, still feel a calling to act the teacher,
though not licensed by the degree. One time my audience is a convention of university
administrators; another time high school teachers of English; another time a women’s
alumnae group.

“Mr. Rodriguez has written extensively about contemporary education.”

Several essays. I have argued particularly against two government programs—
affirmative action and bilingual education.

“He is a provocative speaker.”

I have become notorious among certain leaders of America’s Ethnic Left. I am
considered a dupe, an ass, the fool—Tom Brown, the brown Uncle Tom, interpreting
the writing on the wall to a bunch of cigar-smoking pharaohs.

A dainty white lady at the women’s club luncheon approaches the podium after my
speech to say, after all, wasn’t it a shame that I wasn’t able to ‘use’ my Spanish in school.
What a shame. But how dare her lady-fingered pieties extend to my life!

There are those in White America who would anoint me to play out for them some
drama of ancestral reconciliation. Perhaps because I am marked by indelible color they
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easily suppose that I am unchanged by social mobility, that I can claim unbroken ties with my past. The possibility! At a time when many middle-class children and parents grow distant, apart, no longer speak, romantic solutions appeal.

But I reject the role. (Caliban won’t ferry a TV crew back to his island, there to recover his roots.)

Aztec ruins hold no special interest for me. I do not search Mexican graveyards for ties to unnamable ancestors. I assume I retain certain features of gesture and mood derived from buried lives. I also speak Spanish today. And read García Lorca and García Márquez at my leisure. But what consolation can that fact bring against the knowledge that my mother and father have never heard of García Lorca or García Márquez?

What preoccupies me is immediate; the separation I endure with my parents is loss. This is what matters to me; the story of the scholarship boy who returns home one summer from college to discover bewildering silence, facing his parents. This is my story. An American story. Consider me, if you choose, a comic victim of two cultures. This is my situation; writing these pages, surrounded in the room I am in by volumes of Montaigne and Shakespeare and Lawrence. They are mine now.

A Mexican woman passes in a black dress. She wears a white apron; she carries a tray of hors d’oeuvres. She must only be asking if there are any I want as she proffers the tray like a wheel of good fortune. I shake my head. No. Does she wonder how I am here? In Bel Air.

It is education that has altered my life. Carried me far.

After Reading

3. Reread the text, using the guiding questions below to deepen your understanding of Rodriguez’s purpose. In groups of four, divide the questions among yourselves. Jot down answers to the questions, and then share your notes with each other.

- **Allusions:** What allusions are made? How does Rodriguez draw on Shakespeare’s *The Tempest*, as well as other literary works, to add depth and meaning to his text (who are Caliban, Uncle Tom, and García Márquez)?
- **Conflicts:** What forces (either internal or external) are pulling Rodriguez in different directions?
- **Diction:** What words have strong connotations and which images paint a vivid picture?
- **Syntax:** Note the use of abrupt, choppy sentence fragments. What effect do they have on your reading?
- What universal ideas about life and society does Rodriguez convey in this text?
Introducing the Strategy: Socratic Seminar

A Socratic Seminar is a focused discussion that is tied to an essential question, topic, or selected text. You participate by asking questions to initiate a conversation that continues with a series of responses and additional questions. In a Socratic Seminar, you must support your opinions and responses using specific textual evidence.

Socratic Seminar

Your teacher will lead you in a Socratic Seminar in which you discuss this piece more fully. As you participate in the discussion, keep in mind the norms for group discussions:

- Be prepared—read the texts, complete any research needed, make notes about points to be discussed.
- Be polite—follow rules for cordial discussions, listen to all ideas, take votes to settle differences on ideas, set timelines and goals for the discussion.
- Be inquisitive—ask questions to keep the discussion moving, to clarify your understanding of others’ ideas, and to challenge ideas and conclusions.
- Be thoughtful—respond to different perspectives in your group, summarize points when needed, and adjust your own thinking in response to evidence and ideas presented within the group.

Check Your Understanding

Reflect on how the discussion in a Socratic Seminar adds to your understanding of your reading. Also reflect on how the group applied the discussion norms. What worked well? What did not work as well?
Learning Targets

- Analyze tone and diction to track changes in narrative perspective.
- Examine how both internal changes and external changes can affect perspective on experiences.

Before Reading

1. **Quickwrite:** In your Reader/Writer Notebook, describe how Thanksgiving is celebrated either in your home or by characters you have seen in films or on television. How is Thanksgiving an example of your culture?

Perspective

Choose a holiday or celebration and describe how your perspective on or attitude toward the holiday may have changed over time, from childhood to adolescence. Then describe how you think it might change as you get older.

**Holiday/Celebration:**

**Childhood Perspective:**

**Adolescent Perspective:**

**Future Perspective:**
During Reading

2. Complete the following graphic organizer as you read “Thanksgiving: A Personal History.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Tone toward the Thanksgiving Holiday with Textual Evidence</th>
<th>Words or Phrases Used to Indicate a Transition to This Time Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childhood</td>
<td></td>
<td>“When I was a kid . . .”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABOUT THE AUTHOR
Jennifer New lives in Iowa City, Iowa, and writes regularly for online and other publications. She describes herself as a dedicated writer whose “mind is forever on the page, playing with language and new ideas for books or articles.”

Essay

Thanksgiving:
A Personal History

by Jennifer New

From the mythic Midwest of my childhood to the mesmerizing Chicago of later years, this holiday has always evoked a place.

1 In trying to explain what was missing from her life, how it felt hollow, a friend recently described to me a Thanksgiving she’d once had. It was just two friends and her. They had made dinner and had a wonderful time. “Nothing special happened,” she explained, “But we were all funny and vibrant. I thought life would always be like that.”

2 This is the holiday mind game: the too-sweet memory of that one shining moment coupled with the painful certainty that the rest of the world must be sitting at a Norman Rockwell table feeling loved. It only gets worse when you begin deconstructing the purpose of such holidays. Pondering the true origins of Thanksgiving, for example, always leaves me feeling more than a bit ashamed and not the least bit festive. Don’t even get me started on Christmas.

3 Every year, I think more and more of divorcing myself from these blockbuster holidays. I want to be free from both the material glut1 and the Pandora's box of emotions that opens every November and doesn’t safely close until Jan. 2. Chief among these is the longing for that perfect day that my friend described, the wishful balance of tradition, meaning and belonging. But as an only child in a family that has never been long on tradition, I’ve usually felt my nose pressed against the glass, never part of the long, lively table and yet not quite able to scrap it all to spend a month in Zanzibar.

4 When I was a kid, of course, there was none of this philosophizing. I was too thrilled by the way the day so perfectly matched the song we'd sung in school. You know the one: “Over the river and through the woods ...” Across the gray Midwestern landscape, driving up and down rolling hills, my parents and I would go to my grandmother's house. From the back seat, I'd peer out at the endless fields of corn, any stray stalks now standing brittle and bleached against the frostbitten black soil. Billboards and gas stations occasionally punctuated the landscape. Everything seemed unusually still, sucked dry of life by winter and the odd quiet of a holiday weekend.

---

1 glut: an excessive amount
In less than an hour, we'd turn off the interstate, entering more familiar territory. My child's mind had created mythic markers for the approach to my grandparents'. First came the sign for a summer campground with its wooden cartoon characters, now caught alone and cold in their faded swimsuits. Farther up the road, a sentry-like boulder stood atop a hill, the final signpost before we pulled into my grandparents' lane. Suddenly, the sky was obscured by the long, reaching branches of old-growth oak and elm trees. A thick underbrush, a collage of grays and browns, extended from the road and beyond to the 13 acres of Iowa woodland on which their house was situated. A frozen creek bisected the property at the bottom of a large hill. The whole kingdom was enchanted by deer, a long orange fox, battalions of squirrels and birds of every hue.

Waiting at the end of the lane was not the house from the song, that home to which the sleigh knew the way. A few years earlier my grandparents had built a new house, all rough-hewn, untreated wood and exposed beams, in lieu of the white clapboard farmhouse where they had raised their children. I vaguely understood that this piece of contemporary architecture, circa 1974, was a twist on that traditional tune, but to me it was better: a magical soaring place full of open spaces, surprises and light.

Upon entering the house, I'd stand and look up. Floating above were windows that seemed impossibly high, their curtains controlled by an electric switch. On another wall was an Oriental rug so vast it seemed to have come from a palace. Hidden doors, a glass replace that warmed rooms on both sides and faucets sprouting water in high arcs fascinated me during each visit. In the basement, I'd roam through a virtual labyrinth of rooms filled with the possessions of relatives now gone. Butter urns, antique dolls and photo albums of stern-faced people competed fantastically with the intercoms and other gadgetry of the house.

I see now that it would have been a great setting for gaggles of cousins: having pillow fights, trudging through the snowy woods, dressing up in my grandmother's old gowns and coonskin hat. Instead, I recall holidays as having a museum-like hush. Alone with the friends I'd created in my mind and the belongings of deceased generations, I was content. Upstairs, a football game hummed from the TV, a mixer whirred in the kitchen and the stereo piped one of my grandmother's classical music 8-tracks from room to room. But the house, with its carpeting and wallpaper, absorbed it all. As I'd seen in an illustration from one of my books, I could picture the house as a cross-section, looking into each room where, alone, my family members, read, cooked, watched TV and napped. Pulling the camera farther away, the great house glowed in the violet of early nightfall, as smoke from the chimney wafted through the woodland and then over the endless dark fields, a scattering of tiny, precise stars overhead.

---

2 **sentry**: a guard

3 **gaggles**: groups or clusters; also, flocks of geese
The moment that brought us there together—my grandparents, mom and dad, my uncle and his partner, and my great-grandmother—was perhaps the most quiet moment of all. Thanksgiving supper, held in the dim light of late afternoon, was a restrained meal, as though it were a play and we had all lost our scripts. Only the clank of silverware, the passing of dishes and the sharing of small talk seemed to carry us around and through it.

If I could go back in time and enter the minds of everyone at that table, I would not be surprised if only my great-grandmother and I were really happy to be there. My grandfather: walking in his fields, calculating numbers from stocks and commodities, fixing a piece of machinery. My parents: with friends in a warmer climate, "The White Album" on the stereo and some unexpected cash in their wallets. My uncle and his partner Bob: willing themselves back home and beyond this annual homage. (Bob himself was a mystery to me, a barrel-chested man who laughed a lot and wore—at least in the one mental snapshot I have of him—a wild patterned smock top and a gold medallion. No one had explained Bob's relationship to our family, so I assigned him a role in my own universe, much like the cartoon characters at the campground or the sentinel rock. I made sense of him and marveled at his ebullience.) And then my grandmother: thinking she should enjoy this, but tired from the cooking and management of the meal, more looking forward to a game later in the evening.

That left my great-grandmother and me. Both of us were happy to have this time with family, this mythic meal in which we both believed. And, really, everyone else was there for us: to instill tradition in me, to uphold it for her. Isn't that what most holidays are about? Everyone in the middle gets holding the bag, squirming in their seats, while the young and old enjoy it. Within a few years, though, by the time I hit adolescence, I'd had my fill of tradition. Not the boulder, the huge house with its secret niches 4 nor even the golden turkey served on an antique platter that my grandmother unearthed every year from the depths of a buffet held any appeal. Gone was my ability to see the world through the almost psychedelic rose-colored glasses of childhood. I also hadn't gained any of the empathy that comes with age. Instead, I was stuck with one foot in cynicism and the other in hypersensitivity. The beloved, magical house now looked to me like a looming example of misspent money and greed. My great-grandmother, so tiny and helpless at this point, now struck me as macabre and frightening, her papery white skin on the verge of tearing.

Perhaps my parents took my behavior, moody and unkind as it was, as a sign that traditions are sometimes meant to be broken. I'm not sure whether they were using me to save themselves from the repetition of the annual holiday, or if they were saving the rest of the family from me. Either way, we stopped pulling into the wooded lane that fourth Thursday in November. For the next few years, we'd drive instead to Chicago. My mind managed to create similar mythic land markers: the rounded pyramids near Dekalb, Ill., which I've since realized are storage buildings; the office parks of the western suburbs where I imagined myself working as a young, single woman, à la Mary Tyler Moore; the large neon sign of a pair of lips that seemed to be a greeting especially for us, rather than the advertising for a dry cleaner that they actually were. About this point, at the neon lips, the buildings around us grew older and darker, and on the horizon the skyscrapers blinked to life in the cold twilight air. The slow enveloping by these mammoth structures was as heady as the approach down my grandparents' lane had been years earlier.

---

4 niches: ornamental recesses in a wall for the display of decorative objects
We would stay at a friend’s apartment, or better yet, in a downtown hotel. I was mesmerized by the clip of urban life. On the wide boulevard of Michigan Avenue, I’d follow women in their fat fur coats, amazed and appalled. The wisps of hairs from the coat closed tight around their necks, hugging brightly made-up faces. Leather boots tapped along city streets, entering the dance of a revolving door or stepping smartly into the back of a yellow cab. The mezzanines of department stores—Lord & Taylor, Marshall Fields—dazzled me; the glint of light reflected on makeup-counter mirrors, the intoxicating waft of perfume on a cacophony of voices. And my parents, freed of their familiar roles, seemed young and bright. They negotiated maître d’s and complex museum maps; they ordered wine from long lists and knew what to tip.

Of course, like that adolescent hero, Holden Caulfield, I was that thing we hated most: a hypocrite. I couldn’t see the irony in my fascination with the urban splendor vs. my disdain for my grandparents’ hard-earned home. Or that my parents possessed the same qualities and talents no matter where we were. I definitely couldn’t pan out far enough to see that I was just a teenager yearning for a bigger world, a change of pace.

During these city trips, my sense of Thanksgiving shifted. No longer was it a wishbone drying on the kitchen windowsill, or foil-wrapped leftovers in the refrigerator. Instead, late November connoted the moneyed swirl of holiday lights flickering on the Magnificent Mile as an “El” train clamored over the Loop. It was the bellows of drivers and the urbane banter of pedestrians, weighted down with packages. The soft glow of restaurants—the darker the better—cut me so far adrift from my day-to-day world that I might as well have traveled to another continent. Far away from the immense quietude of the house in the woods, the bellhops now served as my uncles, shop clerks and waiters my cousins, and the patrons in theater lobbies and museums became my extended family. Late at night, I’d creep out of my bed to the window and watch with amazement as the city below continued to move to the beat of an all-night rumba. Without having to be invited or born into it, I was suddenly, automatically, part of something bigger and noisier than my small family.

A mezzanine describes a partial story between two floors of a building. The word comes from the French and means “middle.” In theaters, the first balcony is often called the mezzanine.

5 cacophony: harsh discordant sound; dissonance
In years since, I’ve cobbled together whatever Thanksgiving is available to me. After college, friends and I, waylaid on the West Coast without family, would whip up green-bean casserole and cranberries, reinventing the tastes of childhood with varying success. There were always broken hearts and pining for home at these occasions, but they were full of warmth and camaraderie. Then, for several years, my husband and I battled a sea of crowds in various airports, piecing together flights from one coast to the other in order to share the day with his family.

On my first visit, I was startled by the table set for more than 20 people. This was a family in which relatives existed in heaps, all appearing in boldface and underlined with their various eccentricities. Neuroses and guarded secrets, petty jealousies and unpaid debts were all placed on the back burner for this one day while people reacquainted themselves, hugging away any uneasiness. This family—suburban, Jewish, bursting with noise and stories—so unlike my own, made me teeter between a thrilling sense of finally having a place at a long table, and a claustrophobic yearning for a quiet spot in a dark café. Or, better yet, in a dark and quiet woodland.

This year for Thanksgiving, I will rent movies, walk with the dog down still streets and have a meal with my parents and husband. Throughout the day, I’ll imagine myself moving through the big house in the woods that my grandparents sold years ago. Padding down carpeted hallways, I’ll rediscover hidden doorways and unpack that platter from the buffet. A bag of antique marbles will open its contents to me as the grandfather clock chimes. Counting “12,” I’ll look outside onto the lawn and watch a family of deer make their nightly crossing through the now barren vegetable garden, jumping over the fence that my husband and I put in their path, and into the neighbor’s yard. I’ll press my nose against the cold glass and wish myself outside and beyond the still of the house.

---

6 eccentricity: a behavioral oddity or peculiarity
After Reading

3. In pairs, review the narrative and share the following topics, assigning each person to one aspect of narrative writing to report and share findings to the rest of the group.

**Student 1:** Review the narrative and identify each of the narrative techniques (dialogue, pacing, and description) from this unit. For each of the identified techniques, evaluate the effectiveness of the technique in the narrative.

**Student 2:** Review the narrative and describe each of the narrative elements of the story (setting, a sequence of events, a point of view, a theme, and characters).

Check Your Understanding

Scan the text “Thanksgiving: A Personal History” and then write a summary of the major time periods in the author’s life and how her attitude changed in each time period.
Assignment

Your assignment is to write a narrative about an incident, either real or imagined, that conveys a cultural perspective. Throughout this unit, you have studied narratives in multiple genres, and you have explored a variety of cultural perspectives. You will now select the genre you feel is most appropriate to convey a real or fictional experience that includes one or more elements of culture.

Prewriting/Planning: Take time to plan your narrative.

• Have you reviewed your notes about your culture and the groups (subcultures) to which you belong, in order to focus on cultural perspectives?
• How will you select personal experiences related to culture that you could classify as stories worth telling?
• What strategies will you use to help create a sequence of events, specific details, and images to convey your experience?
• How will you choose a narrative genre that will best suit your writing needs?
• How can you use your writing group to help you select a genre type and story idea that would be worth telling?

Drafting: Choose the structure of your narrative and create a draft.

• How will you include important narrative techniques, such as sequencing of events, dialogue, pacing, and description to develop experiences and characters?
• How can you use the mentor texts of your narrative genre to help guide your drafting?

Evaluating and Revising: Create opportunities to review and incorporate changes to make your narrative better.

• How can you use the Scoring Guide to ensure your narrative reflects the expectations for narrative techniques and use of language?
• How can you use your writing groups to solicit helpful feedback and suggestions for revision?

Editing/Publishing: Confirm that your final draft is ready for publication.

• What resources can you consult to correct mistakes and produce a technically sound document?

Reflection

After completing this Embedded Assessment, think about how you completed the assignment. Write a reflection responding to the following questions:
1. What have you learned about how an author controls the way an audience responds to his or her writing?
2. What new narrative techniques did you include in your narrative to create an effect in your reader’s response to the narrative?
## SCORING GUIDE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Incomplete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideas</strong></td>
<td>The narrative • engages the reader through interesting lead-in and details • uses narrative techniques (dialogue, pacing, description) to develop experiences and characters • provides a conclusion that resolves issues and draws the story to a close.</td>
<td>The narrative • describes an incident and orients the reader • uses narrative techniques effectively to develop characters and experiences • provides a clear conclusion to the story.</td>
<td>The narrative • does not describe a cultural perspective or lacks essential details to orient the reader • includes few narrative techniques to develop characters • provides an unsatisfying conclusion that does not resolve the story.</td>
<td>The narrative • does not contain essential details to establish a cultural perspective • does not effectively use narrative techniques to develop the story • does not provide a conclusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>The narrative • follows the structure of the genre with well-sequenced events • clearly orients the reader and uses effective transitions to link ideas and events • demonstrates a consistent point of view.</td>
<td>The narrative • follows the structure of the genre and includes a sequence of events • orients the reader and uses transitions to create a coherent whole • uses a mostly consistent point of view.</td>
<td>The narrative • may follow only parts of the structure of the genre • presents disconnected events and limited coherence • contains a point of view that is not appropriate for the focus of the narrative.</td>
<td>The narrative • does not follow the structure of the genre • includes few if any events and no coherence • contains inconsistent and confusing points of view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of Language</strong></td>
<td>The narrative • purposefully uses descriptive language, telling details, and vivid imagery • uses meaningful dialogue when appropriate to advance the narrative • demonstrates error-free spelling and use of standard English conventions.</td>
<td>The narrative • uses descriptive language and telling details • uses direct and/or indirect dialogue when appropriate • demonstrates general command of conventions and spelling; minor errors do not interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>The narrative • uses limited descriptive language or details • contains little or no dialogue • demonstrates limited command of conventions and spelling; errors interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>The narrative • uses no descriptive language or details • contains no effective use of dialogue • contains numerous errors in grammar and conventions that interfere with meaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning Targets

- Identify the knowledge and skills needed to complete Embedded Assessment 2 successfully and reflect on prior learning that supports the knowledge and skills needed.
- Explore the issue of justice as a potential topic of an argument.

Making Connections

In the first part of this unit, you explored a variety of narratives and told a memorable story that conveyed a cultural perspective. In this part of the unit, you will expand on your writing skills by writing an argumentative essay to persuade an audience to agree with your position on an issue.

Essential Questions

Based on your learning from the first part of this unit, how would you respond to the Essential Questions now?

1. How can cultural experiences and perspectives be conveyed through memorable narratives?

2. What issues resonate across cultures, and how are arguments developed in response?

Developing Vocabulary

Look at your Reader/Writer Notebook and review the new vocabulary you learned in the first part of this unit. Which words do you know in depth, and which words do you need to learn more about?

Unpacking Embedded Assessment 2

Read the assignment for Embedded Assessment 2: Writing an Argumentative Essay.

Your assignment is to develop an argument about an issue that resonates across cultures. You will choose a position, target audience, and effective genre to convey your argument to a wide audience.

In your own words, summarize what you will need to know to complete this assessment successfully. With your class, create a graphic organizer to represent the skills and knowledge you will need to complete the tasks identified in the embedded assessment.

Arguing for Justice

An argument usually focuses on a topic that is of interest to many people. The topic may be one with many different sides, or it may be one with two sides: for and against. In this last part of the unit, you will explore issues of justice as an example of a topic on which people take definite positions.
Societies create systems of justice to maintain order by establishing rules and laws that reasonable people understand and abide by. Even in well-organized systems, though, there are differences of opinion about what is just, what is fair, and what is right. Instances of injustice often provoke strong emotional reactions that give rise to conflicts. Examining important social issues relating to justice demands that you examine multiple perspectives and evaluate arguments for all sides of an issue.

1. Think about the following terms and write associations you have with them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>What words come to mind when you see or hear these terms?</th>
<th>What has influenced your opinion of these terms?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justice, justice system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laws, rules, codes, constitution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge, jury, lawyers, witnesses,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prosecutor, defendant, victim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics, morality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punishment, rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Now, using the ideas you have recorded, write a personal definition of the word “justice.” What does justice mean to you? How does your culture affect your views on justice? You can develop your definition of justice with a series of brief examples or with a narrative that illustrates your point.
Learning Targets

• Analyze and synthesize details from three texts about justice.
• Create an argument on an issue of justice using valid reasoning, addressing claims and counterclaims, and incorporating an effective organizational plan in a written argument.

When presenting their support for a particular point of view, writers use persuasive language to make their cases about unjust treatment or situations. A powerful argument is crafted using emotional, logical, and ethical appeals to those who have the power to take action on an issue. To take a stand against an injustice and provide a passionate and persuasive argument that convinces others of your point of view is the responsibility and right of every effective communicator.

Before Reading

1. Think about these situations and how you might react.
   - Imagine that you, an American teenager, went out one night with some friends and vandalized a car and street signs. Imagine then that you were arrested by the police. What do you expect your punishment would be? Would it involve jail time, repairing the damage, or some other penalty? How do you think justice would be best served?
   - What is the attitude in your family toward vandalism of this nature? In your school? In your community?

2. The leap from making your point on a personal issue of fairness to delivering a convincing argument on an issue of injustice to a broader, more demanding audience is part of expanding your personal influence into a wider arena. Respond to the following in a quickwrite; then discuss your responses with a partner before participating in a class discussion.
   - What happens when different cultures have varying perspectives on issues of justice? What do you think might be the response to this kind of vandalism in another country?

During Reading

3. Read the background information on the Michael Fay controversy, and discuss the questions with a partner or small group. Read the articles carefully, taking notes on the elements of an argument, including whether the reasoning is valid and the evidence is relevant and sufficient. Mark the text, indicating words and phrases that indicate the writer’s stance: for or against. Take notes in the My Notes space about any biases you detect. At the top of the page, write For or Against.
Background Information on Michael Fay Controversy

Michael Fay, an American teenager living in Singapore, was arrested in 1994 for possession of stolen street signs and for vandalism of automobiles. The criminal justice system in Singapore sentenced Fay to a series of “canings,” in which the accused is struck several times on the buttocks with a long, rattan cane. Amnesty International has declared this punishment “torture.”

Before the punishment was carried out, Fay’s father publicized his case all over America, hoping that people would be so horrified by the act that they would protest. What the case touched off instead was a huge debate over the effectiveness of such punishments on criminals. Proponents of caning pointed out that Singapore has very little crime, while America provides its criminals with cable TV. The case dominated much of talk radio in the months leading up to the scheduled caning.

The Clinton Administration did intervene somewhat and was able to get the number of strokes reduced. In the end, Fay was struck four times with the cane, and the case—and Fay—slipped out of the public’s mind.

The Michael Fay case generated a lot of publicity. Newspaper reporters and editorial writers expressed different points of view on whether the punishment was justified.

Forms of Evidence

When presenting an argument, writers use evidence to support their positions. Of the types of evidence—empirical, logical, and anecdotal—anecdotal is the least reliable because it may have been passed from one person to another to another. As you read the following two articles, look for the evidence presented to support the arguments. Mark the text to identify each type of evidence, and discuss with peers the effect of that persuasive technique on the text as a whole as well as its impact on the reader.
Singapore's founding leader, Lee Kuan Yew, returned to a favorite theme yesterday in defending the threatened caning of Michael Fay, an 18-year-old American found guilty of vandalism. Western countries value the individual above society; in Asia, he said, the good of society is deemed more important than individual liberties. This comfortable bit of sophistry\(^1\) helps governments from China to Indonesia rationalize abuses and marginalize courageous people who campaign for causes like due process and freedom from torture. Western nations, it is asserted, have no right to impose their values on countries that govern themselves successfully according to their own values.

So, the argument goes, when Americans express outrage over a punishment that causes permanent scarring—in this case, caning—they are committing an act of cultural arrogance, assuming that American values are intrinsically superior to those of another culture.

There is a clear problem with this argument. It assumes that dissidents, democrats and reformers in these countries are somehow less authentic representatives of their cultures than the members of the political elite who enforce oppressive punishments and suppress individual rights.

At times like this, Americans need to remember that this country was also founded by dissidents—by people who were misfits in their own society because they believed, among other things, that it was wrong to punish pilferage with hanging or crimes of any sort with torture.

These are values worth asserting around the world. Americans concerned with the propagation\(^2\) of traditional values at home should be equally energetic in asserting constitutional principles in the international contest of ideas. There are millions of acts of brutality that cannot be exposed and combated. A case like Michael Fay's is important because it provides a chance to challenge an inhumane practice that ought not to exist anywhere.

While this country cannot dictate to the government of Singapore, no one should fail to exhort it to behave mercifully. President Clinton provided a sound example when he called for a pardon. Principled private citizens ought now to call for American companies doing business in Singapore to bring their influence to bear.

Our colleague William Safire is right to call upon American corporations with subsidiaries in Singapore to press President Ong Teng Cheong to cancel Mr. Fay's punishment. According to Dun & Bradstreet and the U.S.-Asean Business Council, some CEOs and companies in this category are: Riley P. Bechtel of the Bechtel Group

---

1. sophistry: false or misleading argument
2. propagation: the spreading of something, dissemination

Singapore needs such people as friends. Now is the time for them to make their voices heard. The Fay case provides a legitimate opening for American citizens and companies to bring political and economic pressure to bear in the propagation of freedom and basic rights. Former President Bush can lead the effort by using his speech at a Citibank seminar in Singapore Thursday to call for clemency for Michael Fay.

**Article**

**Rough Justice**

A Caning in Singapore Stirs Up a Fierce Debate About Crime and Punishment

*by Alejandro Reyes*

The Vandalism Act of 1966 was originally conceived as a legal weapon to combat the spread of mainly political graffiti common during the heady days of Singapore's struggle for independence. Enacted a year after the republic left the Malaysian Federation, the law explicitly mandates between three and eight strokes of the cane for each count, though a provision allows first offenders to escape caning “if the writing, drawing, mark or inscription is done with pencil, crayon, chalk or other delible substances and not with paint, tar or other indelible substances…”

Responding to reporters' questions, U.S. chargé d'affaires Ralph Boyce said: “We see a large discrepancy between the offense and the punishment. The cars were not permanently damaged; the paint was removed with thinner. Caning leaves permanent scars. In addition, the accused is a teenager and this is his first offense.”

By evening, the Singapore government had its reply: “Unlike some other societies which may tolerate acts of vandalism, Singapore has its own standards of social order as reflected in our laws. It is because of our tough laws against anti-social crimes that we are able to keep Singapore orderly and relatively crime-free.”

But according to a string of polls, Fay's caning sentence struck a chord in the U.S. Many Americans fed up with rising crime in their cities actually supported the tough punishment. Singapore's embassy in Washington said that the mail it had received was
overwhelmingly approving of the tough sentence. And a radio call-in survey in Fay's hometown of Dayton, Ohio, was strongly pro-caning.

It wasn't long before Singapore patriarch Lee Kuan Yew weighed in. He reckoned the whole affair revealed America's moral decay. "The U.S. government, the U.S. Senate and the U.S. media took the opportunity to ridicule us, saying the sentence was too severe," he said in a television interview. "[The U.S.] does not restrain or punish individuals, forgiving them for whatever they have done. That's why the whole country is in chaos: drugs, violence, unemployment and homelessness. The American society is the richest and most prosperous in the world but it is hardly safe and peaceful."

The debate over caning put a spotlight on Singapore's legal system. Lee and the city-state's other leaders are committed to harsh punishments. Preventive detention laws allow authorities to lock up suspected criminals without trial. While caning is mandatory in cases of vandalism, rape and weapons offenses, it is also prescribed for immigration violations such as overstaying visas and hiring of illegal workers. The death penalty is automatic for drug trafficking and firing a weapon while committing a crime. At dawn on May 13, six Malaysians were hanged for drug trafficking, bringing to seventeen the number executed for such offenses so far this year, ten more than the total number of prisoners executed in all of 1993.

Most Singaporeans accept their brand of rough justice. Older folk readily speak of the way things were in the 1950s and 1960s when secret societies and gangs operated freely. Singapore has succeeded in keeping crime low. Since 1988, government statistics show there has been a steady decline in the crime rate from 223 per 10,000 residents to 175 per 10,000 last year. Authorities are quick to credit their tough laws and harsh penalties for much of that. . . .

"If there is a single fundamental difference between the Western and Asian worldview, it is the dichotomy between individual freedom and collective welfare," said Singapore businessman and former journalist Ho Kwon Ping in an address to lawyers on May 5, the day Fay was caned. "The Western cliché that it would be better for a guilty person to go free than to convict an innocent person is testimony to the importance of the individual. But an Asian perspective may well be that it is better that an innocent person be convicted if the common welfare is protected than for a guilty person to be free to inflict further harm on the community."

There is a basic difference too in the way the law treats a suspect. "In Britain and in America, they keep very strongly to the presumption of innocence," says Walter Woon, associate professor of law at the National University of Singapore and a nominated MP. "The prosecution must prove that you are guilty. And even if the judge may feel that you are guilty, he cannot convict you unless the prosecution has proven it. So in some cases it becomes a game between the defense and the prosecuting counsel. We would rather convict even if it doesn't accord with the purist's traditions of the presumption of innocence."

Singapore's legal system may be based on English common law, but it has developed its own legal traditions and philosophy since independence. The recent severance of all appeals to the Privy Council in London is part of that process. In fundamental ways, Singapore has departed from its British legal roots. The city-state eliminated jury trials years ago—the authorities regard them as error-prone. Acquittals can be appealed and are sometimes overturned. And judges have increased sentences on review. Recently an acquittal was overturned and a bus driver was sentenced to death for murder based
only on circumstantial evidence. “Toughness is considered a virtue here,” says Woon. “The system is stacked against criminals. The theory is that a person shouldn’t get off on fancy argument.”

Woon opposes caning to punish non-violent offenses. But he is not an admirer of the American system. Last year, Woon and his family were robbed at gunpoint at a bus stop near Disney World in Orlando, Florida. The experience shook him. America’s legal system, he argues, “has gone completely berserk. They’re so mesmerized by the rights of the individual that they forget that other people have rights too. There’s all this focus on the perpetrator and his rights, and they forget the fellow is a criminal.” Fay is no more than that, Woon says. “His mother and father have no sense of shame. Do they not feel any shame for not having brought him up properly to respect other people’s property? Instead they consider themselves victims.”

Yet harsh punishments alone are clearly not the salvation of Singapore society. The predominantly Chinese city-state also has a cohesive value system that emphasizes such Confucian virtues as respect for authority. “No matter how harsh your punishments, you’re not going to get an orderly society unless the culture is in favor of order,” says Woon. “In Britain and America, they seem to have lost the feeling that people are responsible for their own behavior. Here, there is still a sense of personal responsibility. If you do something against the law, you bring shame not only to yourself but to your family.”

That “sense of shame,” Woon reckons, is more powerful than draconian laws. “Loosening up won’t mean there will be chaos,” he says. “But the law must be seen to work. The punishment is not the main thing. It’s the enforcement of the law. The law has to be enforced effectively and fairly.”

**After Reading**

4. Revisit your thinking at the beginning of the activity. In light of what you have read, did you change your mind about this issue of justice? What questions might you need to have answered before you decide if a punishment is just or not? How does your culture reflect your thinking about justice?

5. Return to each of the texts and locate examples of evidence in the texts and identify whether it is empirical, logical, or anecdotal. With your group, discuss the impact of the evidence on the text and the reader, using examples from the text to support your answers.
Reasoning and Evidence

When evaluating claims made about a topic, it is important to determine whether a writer’s reasoning is valid and if the evidence provided sufficiently supports a claim. Writers may make false statements that are not fully supported by logic or evidence.

Fallacies are common errors in reasoning that undermine the logic of an argument. Fallacies may be based on irrelevant points, and are often identified because they lack evidence to support their claim. Some common fallacies are given below.

Examples of Common Fallacies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fallacy</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hasty Generalization</td>
<td>A conclusion that is based on insufficient or biased evidence; in other words, rushing to a conclusion before all the relevant facts are available.</td>
<td>Even though it’s only the first day, I can tell this is going to be a boring course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either/Or</td>
<td>A conclusion that oversimplifies the argument by reducing it to only two sides or choices.</td>
<td>We can either stop using cars or destroy the earth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad Populum</td>
<td>An emotional appeal that speaks to positive (such as patriotism, religion, democracy) or negative (such as terrorism or fascism) feelings rather than the real issue at hand.</td>
<td>If you were a true American, you would support the rights of people to choose whatever vehicle they want.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral Equivalence</td>
<td>A comparison of minor misdeeds with major atrocities.</td>
<td>That parking attendant who gave me a ticket is as bad as Hitler.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Herring</td>
<td>A diversionary tactic that avoids the key issues, often by avoiding opposing arguments rather than addressing them.</td>
<td>The level of mercury in seafood may be unsafe, but what will fishers do to support their families?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. With a partner, reread the previous texts about Michael Fay and look for evidence of fallacious reasoning. Provide evidence for why you think the reasoning is fallacious, and discuss how the writers could have changed their text to avoid these problems.

Check Your Understanding

What fallacies are commonly used in arguments? Explain how anecdotal evidence could be an example of false or fallacious reasoning.
Learning Targets
• Identify author’s purpose and analyze the argument presented.
• Analyze and evaluate the organization of ideas.
• Evaluate rhetorical appeals and their effectiveness in argument.

Before Reading
1. With a partner, generate a list of ideas about civil disobedience using the quotes below and what you already know about the phrase.

“If the machine of government is of such a nature that it requires you to be the agent of injustice to another, then, I say, break the law.”—Henry David Thoreau
“Never do anything against conscience even if the state demands it.”— Albert Einstein
“You’re not supposed to be so blind with patriotism that you can’t face reality. Wrong is wrong, no matter who says it.”—Malcolm X
“We should never forget that everything Adolf Hitler did in Germany was ‘legal’ and everything the Hungarian freedom fighters did in Hungary was ‘illegal.’”—Martin Luther King, Jr.
“If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor. If an elephant has its foot on the tail of a mouse and you say that you are neutral, the mouse will not appreciate your neutrality.”—Bishop Desmond Tutu

During Reading
2. As you read “On Civil Disobedience,” highlight words and take notes on Gandhi’s claim and supporting evidence.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR
Born in 1869, Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi was a great believer in the power of using civil disobedience against governments that oppressed the poor and the disenfranchised. He spent seven years in South Africa leading and defending Indians born and living there without legal rights. It was there that he began practicing satyagraha, or passive resistance. Later, he returned to his homeland of India where he helped the country gain its independence from the British in 1947. He became known there as Mahatma, or “Great Soul.” India, though free from Britain, suffered from internal turmoil as religious factions fought for power. Gandhi was assassinated by a fanatic in 1948.
There are two ways of countering injustice. One way is to smash the head of the man who perpetrates injustice and to get your own head smashed in the process. All strong people in the world adopt this course. Everywhere wars are fought and millions of people are killed. The consequence is not the progress of a nation but its decline. . . . No country has ever become, or will ever become, happy through victory in war. A nation does not rise that way; it only falls further. In fact, what comes to it is defeat, not victory. And if, perchance, either our act or our purpose was ill-conceived, it brings disaster to both belligerents.1

But through the other method of combating injustice, we alone suffer the consequences of our mistakes, and the other side is wholly spared. This other method is satyagraha2. One who resorts to it does not have to break another’s head; he may merely have his own head broken. He has to be prepared to die himself suffering all the pain. In opposing the atrocious laws of the Government of South Africa, it was this method that we adopted. We made it clear to the said Government that we would never bow to its outrageous laws. No clapping is possible without two hands to do it, and no quarrel without two persons to make it. Similarly, no State is possible without two entities, the rulers and the ruled. You are our sovereign, our Government, only so long as we consider ourselves your subjects. When we are not subjects, you are not the sovereign either. So long as it is your endeavour to control us with justice and love, we will let you to do so. But if you wish to strike at us from behind, we cannot permit it. Whatever you do in other matters, you will have to ask our opinion about the laws that concern us. If you make laws to keep us suppressed in a wrongful manner and without taking us into confidence, these laws will merely adorn the statute books.3 We will never obey them. Award us for it what punishment you like; we will put up with it. Send us to prison and we will live there as in a paradise. Ask us to mount the scaffold4 and we will do so laughing. Shower what sufferings you like upon us; we will calmly endure all and not hurt a hair of your body. We will gladly die and will not so much as touch you. But so long as there is yet life in these our bones, we will never comply with your arbitrary5 laws.

---

1 belligerents: participants in a war
2 satyagraha: (Sanskrit) insistence on truth; a term used by Gandhi to describe his policy of seeking reform by means of nonviolent resistance
3 statute books: books of law
4 scaffold: in this use, a platform on which people are executed by hanging
5 arbitrary: illogical, unreasonable
After Reading

3. Many writers publish stories about civil strife in their countries. Compare and contrast the portrayal of reactions to civil strife in *Persepolis* and “On Civil Disobedience.”

4. What do you think was the author’s purpose for this text?

5. Look at how the author moves from idea to idea. How does Gandhi use cause-and-effect to organize his ideas? Create a graphic organizer that shows the cause-and-effect patterns you identify in the speech.

Language and Writer’s Craft: Outlining and Organizing an Argument

To be effective, an argument should be precise, provide sound reasoning and evidence, and use effective transitions to guide the reader from one idea to the next. An argument might be organized as follows:

I. Claim (the thesis for the writer’s argument)

II. Evidence (support for the claim) and explanation (description/details about why and how the evidence connects to and supports the claim)

III. Reasoning (additional logic that may be needed to support the evidence and explain why it is valid)

IV. Counterclaims (acknowledgment of other viewpoints or evidence that disagrees with your claim/thesis)

V. Refutations (evidence/reasoning that negates the counterclaims)

VI. Conclusion (concluding statement pulling the claim and the evidence together to create a call for action)

Argumentative Writing Prompt: Is civil disobedience a moral responsibility of a citizen? Write an essay that addresses the question and support your position with evidence from texts in this part of the unit and real-life examples to illustrate or clarify your position. Be sure to:

- Write a precise claim and support it with valid reasoning and relevant evidence (avoid false statements and fallacious reasoning).
- Acknowledge counterclaims that anticipate the audience’s knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases while also refuting the evidence for those claims.
- Create an organizational plan that logically sequences claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence.
- Maintain a formal tone, vary sentence types, and use effective transitions.
Learning Targets

- Analyze the use of rhetorical appeals in argument.
- Compare and contrast how different writers approach a subject or an issue.

Using Rhetorical Appeals

You have learned how writers use ethos, pathos, and logos to appeal to readers. In argumentative texts, reasoning should primarily be based on ethos and logos. However, pathos can be a strong appeal as part of an argument.

Before Reading

1. Read the following quote from Chief Joseph. What rhetorical appeal is he using?

“Let me be a free man, free to travel, free to stop, free to work, free to trade where I choose, free to choose my own teachers, free to follow the religion of my fathers, free to talk, think and act for myself—and I will obey every law or submit to the penalty.”

During Reading

2. As you read the short speech, think about its brevity yet great impact.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Chief Joseph (1840–1904) was the leader of a band of the Nez Percé people, originally living in the Wallowa Valley in what is now Oregon. During years of struggle against whites who wanted their lands, and broken promises from the federal government, Chief Joseph led his people in many battles to preserve their lands. On a desperate retreat toward Canada, Chief Joseph and his band were fighting the Army and the weather, and he finally surrendered in the Bear Paw Mountains of Montana.

Speech

ON SURRENDER AT BEAR PAW MOUNTAIN, 1877

by Chief Joseph

Tell General Howard that I know his heart. What he told me before I have in my heart. I am tired of fighting. Our chiefs are killed. Looking Glass is dead, Tu-hul-hil-sote is dead. The old men are all dead. It is the young men who now say yes or no. He who led the young men [Joseph’s brother Alikut] is dead. It is cold and we have no blankets. The little children are freezing to death. My people—some of them have run away to the hills and have no blankets and no food. No one knows where they are—perhaps freezing to death. I want to have time to look for my children and see how many of them I can find. Maybe I shall find them among the dead. Hear me, my chiefs, my heart is sick and sad. From where the sun now stands I will fight no more forever.
After Reading

3. What is the primary rhetorical appeal that Chief Joseph uses in this speech? Give examples and explain their appeal.

4. What is the tone of the speech? Explain.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Susan B. Anthony (1820–1905) became a prominent leader for women’s suffrage, giving speeches in both the United States and Europe. With Elizabeth Cady Stanton, she created and produced The Revolution, a weekly publication that lobbied for women’s rights. The newspaper’s motto was “Men their rights, and nothing more; women their rights, and nothing less.” After lobbying for the right to vote for many years, in 1872 Anthony took matters into her own hands and voted illegally in the presidential election. Anthony was arrested and unsuccessfully fought the charges. She was fined $100, which she never paid. Anthony delivered this address to explain her own civil disobedience.

Speech

On Women’s Right to Vote

by Susan B. Anthony

Philadelphia 1872

Friends and fellow citizens: I stand before you tonight under indictment for the alleged crime of having voted at the last presidential election, without having a lawful right to vote. It shall be my work this evening to prove to you that in thus voting, I not only committed no crime, but, instead, simply exercised my citizen’s rights, guaranteed to me and all United States citizens by the National Constitution, beyond the power of any state to deny.

The preamble of the Federal Constitution says:

We, the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.
It was we, the people; not we, the white male citizens; nor yet we, the male citizens; but we, the whole people, who formed the Union. And we formed it, not to give the blessings of liberty, but to secure them; not to the half of ourselves and the half of our posterity, but to the whole people—women as well as men. And it is a downright mockery to talk to women of their enjoyment of the blessings of liberty while they are denied the use of the only means of securing them provided by this democratic-republican government—the ballot.

For any state to make sex a qualification that must ever result in the disfranchisement of one entire half of the people, is to pass a bill of attainder, or, an ex post facto law, and is therefore a violation of the supreme law of the land. By it the blessings of liberty are forever withheld from women and their female posterity.

To them this government has no just powers derived from the consent of the governed. To them this government is not a democracy. It is not a republic. It is an odious aristocracy; a hateful oligarchy of sex; the most hateful aristocracy ever established on the face of the globe; an oligarchy of wealth, where the rich govern the poor. An oligarchy of learning, where the educated govern the ignorant, or even an oligarchy of race, where the Saxon rules the African, might be endured; but this oligarchy of sex, which makes father, brothers, husband, sons, the oligarchs over the mother and sisters, the wife and daughters, of every household—which ordains all men sovereigns, all women subjects, carries dissension, discord, and rebellion into every home of the nation. Webster, Worcester, and Bouvier all define a citizen to be a person in the United States, entitled to vote and hold office.

The only question left to be settled now is: Are women persons? And I hardly believe any of our opponents will have the hardihood to say they are not. Being persons, then, women are citizens; and no state has a right to make any law, or to enforce any old law, that shall abridge their privileges or immunities. Hence, every discrimination against women in the constitutions and laws of the several states is today null and void, precisely as is every one against Negroes.

**After Reading**

5. Cite evidence that Anthony uses to support her claim.


**Check Your Understanding**

Explain how each of the rhetorical appeals of ethos, pathos, and logos might be used to create an effective argument.
Learning Targets

- Identify an author’s purpose and analyze an argument presented.
- Synthesize information from print and non-print persuasive texts.
- Conduct research and present findings in a brief presentation to peers.

Before Reading

1. Imagine a country whose culture has always had a deep-seated fear of red hair. The rulers of the country pass a law that says that all red-haired children are to be banished when they turn 10. Is this a just law? How do you determine a law’s justness? Can you remove it from culture, time, and place and still have it be relevant? How are laws established in a state? In a country? How would you go about changing our country’s laws?

During Reading

2. The United Nations is an organization that tries to determine issues of justice that transcend individual cultures and societal rules. What do you know about the United Nations? Are there any laws to which all nations on the planet would agree?

3. Think about children (defined as any person under the age of 18, unless an earlier age of majority is recognized by a country’s law) around the world. If all nations could agree on a set of laws that concern the treatment of children, what kinds of laws do you think would appear in such a set?

4. As you read the next three texts (“Declaration of the Rights of the Child,” an informational text, and an essay by Anna Quindlen), mark the text to identify key elements of an argument and the evidence supporting claims.

Proclamation

Declaration of the Rights of the Child

PROCLAIMED BY GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION 1386(XIV)
OF 20 NOVEMBER 1959

Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have, in the Charter, reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights and in the dignity and worth of the human person, and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

Whereas the United Nations has, in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, proclaimed that everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth therein, without distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status,

Whereas the child, by reason of his physical and mental immaturity, needs special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection, before as well as after birth,
Whereas the need for such special safeguards has been stated in the Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child of 1924, and recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the statutes of specialized agencies and international organizations concerned with the welfare of children,

Whereas mankind owes to the child the best it has to give,

Now therefore,

The General Assembly

Proclaims this Declaration of the Rights of the Child to the end that he may have a happy childhood and enjoy for his own good and for the good of society the rights and freedoms herein set forth, and calls upon parents, upon men and women as individuals, and upon voluntary organizations, local authorities and national Governments to recognize these rights and strive for their observance by legislative and other measures progressively taken in accordance with the following principles:

Principle 1

The child shall enjoy all the rights set forth in this Declaration. Every child, without any exception whatsoever, shall be entitled to these rights, without distinction or discrimination on account of race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status, whether of himself or of his family.

Principle 2

The child shall enjoy special protection, and shall be given opportunities and facilities, by law and by other means, to enable him to develop physically, mentally, morally, spiritually and socially in a healthy and normal manner and in conditions of freedom and dignity. In the enactment of laws for this purpose, the best interests of the child shall be the paramount consideration.

Principle 3

The child shall be entitled from his birth to a name and a nationality.

Principle 4

The child shall enjoy the benefits of social security. He shall be entitled to grow and develop in health; to this end, special care and protection shall be provided both to him and to his mother, including adequate pre-natal and post-natal care. The child shall have the right to adequate nutrition, housing, recreation and medical services.

Principle 5

The child who is physically, mentally or socially handicapped shall be given the special treatment, education and care required by his particular condition.
Principle 6

The child, for the full and harmonious development of his personality, needs love and understanding. He shall, wherever possible, grow up in the care and under the responsibility of his parents, and, in any case, in an atmosphere of affection and of moral and material security; a child of tender years shall not, save in exceptional circumstances, be separated from his mother. Society and the public authorities shall have the duty to extend particular care to children without a family and to those without adequate means of support. Payment of State and other assistance towards the maintenance of children of large families is desirable.

Principle 7

The child is entitled to receive education, which shall be free and compulsory, at least in the elementary stages. He shall be given an education which will promote his general culture and enable him, on a basis of equal opportunity, to develop his abilities, his individual judgement, and his sense of moral and social responsibility, and to become a useful member of society.

The best interests of the child shall be the guiding principle of those responsible for his education and guidance; that responsibility lies in the first place with his parents.

The child shall have full opportunity for play and recreation, which should be directed to the same purposes as education; society and the public authorities shall endeavour to promote the enjoyment of this right.

Principle 8

The child shall in all circumstances be among the first to receive protection and relief.

Principle 9

The child shall be protected against all forms of neglect, cruelty and exploitation. He shall not be the subject of traffic, in any form.

The child shall not be admitted to employment before an appropriate minimum age; he shall in no case be caused or permitted to engage in any occupation or employment which would prejudice his health or education, or interfere with his physical, mental or moral development.

Principle 10

The child shall be protected from practices which may foster racial, religious and any other form of discrimination. He shall be brought up in a spirit of understanding, tolerance, friendship among peoples, peace and universal brotherhood, and in full consciousness that his energy and talents should be devoted to the service of his fellow men.
After Reading

5. Read the following graph, and then discuss the statistics on world hunger from the World Health Organization.

**Number of Hungry People in the World**

925 Million Hungry People in 2010

- Sub-Saharan Africa: 239 million
- Asia and the Pacific: 578 million
- Latin America and the Caribbean: 53 million
- Near East and North Africa: 37 million
- Developed Countries: 19 million

**Source:** World Health Organization

**Statistic 1**

“In round numbers there are 7 billion people in the world. Thus, with an estimated 925 million hungry people in the world, 13.1 percent, or almost 1 in 7 people are hungry.”

**Statistic 2**

“Children are the most visible victims of undernutrition. Children who are poorly nourished suffer up to 160 days of illness each year. Poor nutrition plays a role in at least half of the 10.9 million child deaths each year—five million deaths. Undernutrition magnifies the effect of every disease, including measles and malaria.”

Check Your Understanding

Are any of these statistics surprising? Are there any that you would like to investigate further? As you move through this activity, you will have the opportunity to conduct research on the issue of hunger or other issues of interest to you.

During Reading

6. In her essay “School’s Out for Summer,” Anna Quindlen makes an argument about the need to address child hunger in the United States. As you read the essay, mark the text to indicate the components of her argument:
School’s Out for Summer

by Anna Quindlen

WHEN THE AD COUNCIL CONVENED focus groups not long ago to help prepare a series of public service announcements on child hunger, there was a fairly unanimous response from the participants about the subject. Not here. Not in America. If there was, we would know about it. We would read about it in the paper, we would see it on the news. And of course we would stop it in America.

Is it any wonder that the slogan the advertising people came up with was “The Sooner You Believe It, the Sooner We Can End It”?

It’s the beginning of summer in America’s cement cities, in the deep hidden valleys of the country and the loop-de-loop sidewalkless streets of the suburbs. For many adults who are really closet kids, this means that their blood hums with a hint of freedom, the old beloved promise of long aimless days of dirt and sweat and sunshine, T-shirts stained with Kool-Aid and flip-flops gray with street grit or backyard dust.

But that sort of summer has given way to something more difficult, even darker, that makes you wonder whether year-round school is not a notion whose time has come. With so many households in which both parents are working, summer is often a scramble of scheduling: day camps, school programs, the Y, the community center. Some parents who can’t afford or find those kinds of services park their vacationing children in front of the television, lock the door, and go to work hoping for the best, calling home on the hour. Some kids just wander in a wilder world than the one that existed when their parents had summers free.
And some kids don’t get enough to eat, no matter what people want to tell themselves. Do the math: During the rest of the year fifteen million students get free or cut-rate lunches at school, and many of them get breakfast, too. But only three million children are getting lunches through the federal summer lunch program. And hunger in the United States, particularly since the institution of so-called welfare reform, is epidemic. The numbers are astonishing in the land of the all-you-can-eat buffet. The Agriculture Department estimated in 1999 that twelve million children were hungry or at risk of going hungry. A group of big-city mayors released a study showing that in 2000, requests for food assistance from families increased almost 20 percent, more than at any time in the last decade. And last Thanksgiving a food bank in Connecticut gave away four thousand more turkeys than the year before—and still ran out of birds.

But while the Christmas holidays make for heartrending copy, summer is really ground zero in the battle to keep kids fed. The school lunch program, begun in the 1970s as a result of bipartisan federal legislation, has been by most measures an enormous success. For lots of poor families it’s become a way to count on getting at least one decent meal into their children, and when it disappears it’s catastrophic. Those who work at America’s Second Harvest, the biggest nonprofit supply source for food banks, talk of parents who go hungry themselves so their kids can eat, who put off paying utility and phone bills, who insist their children attend remedial summer school programs simply so they can get a meal. The parents themselves are loath to talk: Of all the humiliations attached to being poor in a prosperous nation, not being able to feed your kids is at the top of the list.

In most cases these are not parents who are homeless or out of work. The people who run food banks report that most of their clients are minimum-wage workers who can’t afford enough to eat on their salaries. “Families are struggling in a way they haven’t done for a long time,” says Brian Loring, the executive director of Neighborhood Centers of Johnson County, Iowa, which provides lunches to more than two hundred kids at five locations during the summer months. For a significant number of Americans, the cost of an additional meal for two school-age children for the eight weeks of summer vacation seems like a small fortune. Some don’t want or seek government help because of the perceived stigma; some are denied food stamps because of new welfare policies. Others don’t know they’re eligible, and none could be blamed if they despaired of the exercise. The average length of a food stamp application is twelve often impenetrable pages; a permit to sell weapons is just two.

The success of the school lunch program has been, of course, that the food goes where the children are. That’s the key to success for summer programs, too. Washington, D.C., has done better than any other city in the country in feeding hungry kids, sending fire trucks into housing projects to distribute leaflets about lunch locations, running a referral hotline and radio announcements. One food bank in

---

1 bipartisan: supported by both major political parties
2 stigma: a sign of shame or disgrace
Nevada decided to send trucks to the parks for tailgate lunches. “That’s where the kids are,” its director told the people at Second Harvest.

We Americans like need that takes place far from home, so we can feel simultaneously self-congratulatory and safe from the possibility that hard times could be lurking around the corner. Maybe that’s why our mothers told us to think of the children in Africa when we wouldn’t clean our plates. I stopped believing in that when I found myself in a bodega³ with a distraught woman after New York City had declared a snow day; she had three kids who ate breakfast and lunch at school, her food stamps had been held up because of some bureaucratic snafu⁴, and she was considering whether to pilfer food from the senior center where she worked as an aide. Surely there should be ways for a civilized society to see that such a thing would never happen, from providing a simpler application for food stamps to setting a decent minimum wage. But wishing don’t make it so, as they say in policy meetings, and proposals aren’t peanut butter and jelly. Find a food bank and then go grocery shopping by proxy⁵. Somewhere nearby there is a mother who covets a couple of boxes of spaghetti, and you could make her dream come true. That’s right. In America.

After Reading
7. In a small group, critique the effect of the author’s argument. Share examples of the author’s arguments (logical, empirical, anecdotal) and discuss the effectiveness of the arguments presented. Can you identify whether the author uses fallacious reasoning, and, if so, where?

8. Research: Do you support the author’s arguments, or would you take a different position? Conduct research on the issue of hunger in your community.
   - First, create a question you would like to answer through your research. Then, use available resources to find answers to your question, creating new questions or revising your question as needed based on your findings.
   - Organize your evidence by form (empirical, logical, anecdotal). Provide at least one example of each form of evidence.
   - Finally, synthesize your findings into a brief, informal presentation, and present your information to a small group of your peers.

Argumentative Writing Prompt: After researching the issue of hunger in your community, write an essay that identifies the problem of hunger and argues for a solution. Support your position with evidence from your research. Be sure to:
   - Establish focus with a hook and claim.
   - Demonstrate valid reasoning and sufficient evidence to support your argument.
   - Cites sources using an appropriate format.
   - Write a strong conclusion that follows from your claim and supports the argument you presented.

³ bodega: a small grocery shop
⁴ snafu: a confusing situation
⁵ proxy: to act in the place of someone else
Learning Targets

- Analyze two complex speeches by Nobel Prize winners.
- Synthesize textual evidence by participating actively in a Socratic Seminar.
- Emulate the model speeches by drafting the opening paragraph of an argumentative speech.

Before Reading

1. Read the biographical information about Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn in the About the Author section. Based on what you have learned about Solzhenitsyn, why do you think he would be able to make a strong argument for speaking the truth in the face of adversity?

2. Next, read the biographical information about Elie Wiesel. What do you think Solzhenitsyn and Wiesel might have in common? How might their life experiences add to their ethos in the context of their arguments?

During Reading

3. Read Solzhenitsyn's speech by participating actively in the guided reading led by your teacher. Use metacognitive markers and take notes as you follow your teacher’s directions.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aleksandr Isayevich Solzhenitsyn (1918–2008) became a worldwide figure when he was exiled from the Soviet Union in 1974 for publishing a historical account of the wretched system of Soviet prison camps known as gulags. Solzhenitsyn had been imprisoned as a young soldier during World War II for writing a letter critical of Stalin, the Soviet dictator. His experiences in a Siberian prison became the basis for his best-known work, A Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich. For years afterward, Solzhenitsyn was forced to publish his works secretly and often abroad because of the threat of further incarceration. Solzhenitsyn lived in the United States for twenty years, but when he regained his Soviet citizenship in 1994, he returned home and continued writing until his death in 2008.
Speech

from

One Word of Truth Outweighs the World

by Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn

I THINK THAT WORLD LITERATURE has the power in these frightening times to help mankind see itself accurately despite what is advocated by partisans and by parties. It has the power to transmit the condensed experience of one region to another, so that different scales of values are combined, and so that one people accurately and concisely knows the true history of another with a power of recognition and acute awareness as if it had lived through that history itself—and could thus be spared repeating old mistakes. At the same time, perhaps we ourselves may succeed in developing our own WORLD-WIDE VIEW, like any man, with the center of the eye seeing what is nearby but the periphery of vision taking in what is happening in the rest of the world. We will make correlations and maintain world-wide standards.

Who, if not writers, are to condemn their own unsuccessful governments (in some states this is the easiest way to make a living; everyone who is not too lazy does it) as well as society itself, whether for its cowardly humiliation or for its self-satisfied weakness, or the lightheaded escapades of the young, or the youthful pirates brandishing knives?

We will be told: What can literature do against the pitiless onslaught of naked violence? Let us not forget that violence does not and cannot flourish by itself; it is inevitably intertwined with LYING. Between them there is the closest, the most profound and natural bond: nothing screens violence except lies, and the only way lies can hold out is by violence. Whoever has once announced violence as his METHOD must inexorably choose lying as his PRINCIPLE. At birth, violence behaves openly and even proudly. But as soon as it becomes stronger and firmly established, it senses the thinning of the air around it and cannot go on without befogging itself in lies, coating itself with lying's sugary oratory. It does not always or necessarily go straight for the gullet; usually it demands of its victims only allegiance to the lie, only complicity in the lie.

The simple act of an ordinary courageous man is not to take part, not to support lies! Let that come
into the world and even reign over it, but not through me. Writers and artists can do more: they can VANQUISH LIES! In the struggle against lies, art has always won and always will.

Conspicuously, incontestably for everyone. Lies can stand up against much in the world, but not against art.

Once lies have been dispelled, the repulsive nakedness of violence will be exposed—and hollow violence will collapse.

That, my friend, is why I think we can help the world in its red-hot hour: not by the nay-saying of having no armaments, not by abandoning oneself to the carefree life, but by going into battle!

In Russian, proverbs about TRUTH are favorites. They persistently express the considerable, bitter, grim experience of the people, often astonishingly:

ONE WORD OF TRUTH OUTWEIGHS THE WORLD.

On such a seemingly fantastic violation of the law of the conservation of mass and energy are based both my own activities and my appeal to the writers of the whole world.

During Reading
4. Follow the same close reading process you used with “One Word of Truth” to read Wiesel’s “Hope, Despair, and Memory.” Be sure to mark the text for evidence of his argument, counterarguments, evidence, and reasoning.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR
Elie Wiesel (1928–) was born in the town of Sighet, now part of Romania. During World War II, he and his family were deported to the German concentration and extermination camps. His parents and little sister perished, while Wiesel and his two older sisters survived. Liberated from Buchenwald in 1945 by Allied troops, Wiesel went to Paris where he studied at the Sorbonne and worked as a journalist. In 1958, he published his first book, La Nuit, a memoir of his experiences in the concentration camps. He has since authored nearly thirty books, some of which use these events as their basic material. In his many lectures, Wiesel has concerned himself with the situation of the Jews and other groups who have suffered persecution and death because of their religion, race, or national origin. Wiesel has made his home in New York City, and is now a United States citizen. He was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1986.

Speech
Excerpt from
Hope, Despair, and Memory
by Elie Wiesel, December 11, 1986

... Just as man cannot live without dreams, he cannot live without hope. If dreams reflect the past, hope summons the future. Does this mean that our future can be built on a rejection of the past? Surely such a choice is not necessary. The two
are incompatible. The opposite of the past is not the future but the absence of future; the opposite of the future is not the past but the absence of past. The loss of one is equivalent to the sacrifice of the other.

A recollection. The time: After the war. The place: Paris. A young man struggles to readjust to life. His mother, his father, his small sister are gone. He is alone. On the verge of despair. And yet he does not give up. On the contrary, he strives to find a place among the living. He acquires a new language. He makes a few friends who, like himself, believe that the memory of evil will serve as a shield against evil; that the memory of death will serve as a shield against death.

This he must believe in order to go on. For he has just returned from a universe where God, betrayed by His creatures, covered His face in order not to see. Mankind, jewel of his creation, had succeeded in building an inverted Tower of Babel, reaching not toward heaven but toward an anti-heaven, there to create a parallel society, a new “creation” with its own princes and gods, laws and principles, jailers and prisoners. A world where the past no longer counted—no longer meant anything.

Stripped of possessions, all human ties severed, the prisoners found themselves in a social and cultural void. “Forget,” they were told. “Forget where you came from; forget who you were. Only the present matters.” But the present was only a blink of the Lord’s eye. The Almighty himself was a slaughterer: it was He who decided who would live and who would die; who would be tortured, and who would be rewarded. Night after night, seemingly endless processions vanished into the flames, lighting up the sky. Fear dominated the universe. Indeed this was another universe; the very laws of nature had been transformed. Children looked like old men, old men whimpered like children. Men and women from every corner of Europe were suddenly reduced to nameless and faceless creatures desperate for the same ration of bread or soup, dreading the same end. Even their silence was the same for it resounded with the memory of those who were gone. Life in this accursed universe was so distorted, so unnatural that a new species had evolved. Waking among the dead, one wondered if one were still alive . . .

. . . Of course, we could try to forget the past. Why not? Is it not natural for a human being to repress what causes him pain, what causes him shame? Like the body, memory protects its wounds. When day breaks after a sleepless night, one’s ghosts must withdraw; the dead are ordered back to their graves. But for the first time in history, we could not bury our dead. We bear their graves within ourselves.

For us, forgetting was never an option. . . .

. . . And yet it is surely human to forget, even to want to forget. The Ancients saw it as a divine gift. Indeed the memory helps us to survive, forgetting allows us to go on living. How could we go on with our daily lives, if we remained constantly aware of the dangers and ghosts surrounding us? The Talmud tells us that without the ability to forget, man would soon cease to learn. Without the ability to forget, man would live in a permanent, paralyzing fear of death. Only God and God alone can and must remember everything.

How are we to reconcile our supreme duty towards memory with the need to forget that is essential to life? No generation has had to confront this paradox with such urgency. The survivors wanted to communicate everything to the living: the victim’s solitude and sorrow, the tears of mothers driven to madness, the prayers of the doomed beneath a fiery sky.
They needed to tell of the child who, in hiding with his mother, asked softly, very softly: “Can I cry now?” They needed to tell of the sick beggar who, in a sealed cattle-car, began to sing as an offering to his companions. And of the little girl who, hugging her grandmother, whispered: “Don’t be afraid, don’t be sorry to die . . . I’m not.” She was seven, that little girl who went to her death without fear, without regret.

Each one of us felt compelled to record every story, every encounter. Each one of us felt compelled to bear witness. Such were the wishes of the dying, the testament of the dead. Since the so-called civilized world had no use for their lives, then let it be inhabited by their deaths. . . .

. . . After the war we reassured ourselves that it would be enough to relate a single night in Treblinka, to tell of her cruelty, the senselessness of murder, and the outrage born of indifference: it would be enough to find the right word and the propitious moment to say it, to shake humanity out of its indifference and keep the torturer from torturing ever again. We thought it would be enough to read the world a poem written by a child in the Theresienstadt ghetto to ensure that no child anywhere would ever again have to endure hunger or fear. It would be enough to describe a death-camp “Selection,” to prevent the human right to dignity from ever being violated again.

We thought it would be enough to tell of the tidal wave of hatred which broke over the Jewish people for men everywhere to decide once and for all to put an end to hatred of anyone who is “different”—whether black or white, Jew or Arab, Christian or Moslem—anyone whose orientation differs politically, philosophically, sexually. A naive undertaking? Of course. But not without a certain logic.

We tried. It was not easy. At first, because of the language; language failed us. We would have to invent a new vocabulary, for our own words were inadequate, anemic. And then too, the people around us refused to listen; and even those who listened refused to believe; and even those who believed could not comprehend. Of course they could not. Nobody could. The experience of the camps defies comprehension. . . .

. . . I remember the killers, I remember the victims, even as I struggle to invent a thousand and one reasons to hope.

There may be times when we are powerless to prevent injustice, but there must never be a time when we fail to protest. The Talmud tells us that by saving a single human being, man can save the world. We may be powerless to open all the jails and free all prisoners, but by declaring our solidarity with one prisoner, we indict all jailers. None of us is in a position to eliminate war, but it is our obligation to denounce it and expose it in all its hideousness. War leaves no victors, only victims. I began with the story of Besht. And, like the Besht, mankind needs to remember more than ever. Mankind needs peace more than ever, for our entire planet, threatened by nuclear war, is in danger of total destruction. A destruction only man can provoke, only man can prevent.

Mankind must remember that peace is not God’s gift to his creatures, it is our gift to each other.
After Reading

5. Review your notes and prepare for a Socratic Seminar about the responsibility of speaking the truth and upholding significant memories. Socratic Seminars work best when all participants come to the discussion prepared with textual evidence and possible questions. Make sure you have three to four Level 2 or 3 questions, as well as evidence to support your thoughts on this issue, when you participate in the Socratic Seminar.

Pre-seminar questions:
- What is the importance of speaking the truth in the face of adversity?
- To what extent are we responsible for our fellow man?

Participating in the Socratic Seminar

A successful seminar depends on the participants and their willingness to engage in the conversation. The following are things to keep in mind as you participate in a Socratic Seminar:
- Talk to the participants and not the teacher or seminar leader.
- Refer to the texts to support your thinking or to challenge an idea.
- Paraphrase what other students say to make sure that you understand their points before challenging their opinions and evidence.

Post-Seminar Reflection

Reflect on your experience during the seminar and your learning by reviewing your responses to the pre-seminar questions.
- Do you feel that you have a better understanding of the texts?
- What questions do you still have about the texts?
- How would you rate your participation in the seminar? What would you do differently in your next seminar?

Argumentative Writing Prompt: Write an argumentative speech supporting a deeply held belief of your own. Support your argument by including some narrative elements. Be sure to:
- Use an organizational structure for an argument that logically sequences claims, counterclaims, valid reasons, and relevant evidence.
- Use persuasive techniques and varied syntax for effect.
- Maintain a formal and objective tone.

Read your speech to a small group of your peers. Ask them to evaluate it for the elements of an argument.
Learning Targets
• Analyze the structure and content of two argumentative essays.
• Create a revision plan to strengthen an essay’s elements of argumentation.

Before Reading
1. In Activity 2.17, Elie Wiesel made a strong argument for the importance of remembrance. In light of his experiences during the Holocaust, Wiesel can speak authoritatively on whether or not remembering is of vital importance. What about you? If you had to take a stand on the importance of memories, what might your position be?

During Reading
2. The two essays that you will read next were written by students during the writing section of the SAT Reasoning Test. As you read, mark the text to indicate elements of argumentation.

Both essays received a 6, the highest possible score. Students had 25 minutes to respond in writing to a prompt, so the essays are not expected to be free from errors. You may notice errors as well as segments in need of revision. SAT essays are recognized as first drafts. The students responded to the following prompt:

Essay Prompt
Think carefully about the issue presented in the following excerpt and the assignment below.

Many persons believe that to move up the ladder of success and achievement, they must forget the past, repress it, and relinquish it. But others have just the opposite view. They see old memories as a chance to reckon with the past and integrate past and present.

(Adapted from Sara Lawrence-Lightfoot, I’ve Known Rivers: Lives of Loss and Liberation)

Assignment: Do memories hinder or help people in their effort to learn from the past and succeed in the present? Plan and write an essay in which you develop your point of view on this issue. Support your position with reasoning and examples taken from your reading, studies, experience, or observation.
Student Essay 1

Memories act as both a help and a hindrance to the success of someone. Many people advise you to learn from the past and apply those memories so that you can effectively succeed by avoiding repeating your past mistakes. On the other hand, people who get too caught up with the past are unable to move on to the future.

Elie Wiesel’s memoir, Night, perfectly exemplifies the double nature of memories. Wiesel, a Jewish man, suffered heavily throughout the Holocaust and Night is rife with horrific descriptions of his experience. These memories help to spread the view of what life was like. Through recounting these memories, Wiesel is able to educate world readers about the atrocities committed in hopes that the same blatant violations of human rights are never repeated again. His poignant pleas for a peaceful future are examples of the therapeutic property that memories can have. Through reliving the Holocaust through his writing, Wiesel was inspired to become proactive in the battle for civil rights. Some would point to his peaceful actions and the sales of his book and label him a success.

Despite the importance of recounting such memories, Wiesel acknowledges the damage that memories can also cause. Following his liberation from the Auschwitz concentration camp, Wiesel was a bitter, jaded man. He could not even write Night until several years later. The end of the novel describes Wiesel’s gradual but absolute loss of faith throughout the experience. His past experiences haunted him for several years, rendering him passive. It was not until he set aside his past that he could even focus on the future. Had he remained so consumed with the pain and damage caused in his past, he may have never achieved the success that he has attained.

Overall, Wiesel’s experiences exemplify the importance of the past as a guide. Wiesel’s past experiences helped to guide him in later life, but it was not until he pushed them aside that he could move on. To me this means that you should rely on your past without letting it control you. Allow your past to act as a guide, while making sure that you are also living in the present and looking to the future.

Student Essay 2

The subject of the human memory is a fascinating one. Memory is what keeps the years of our past from becoming meaningless blurs. For years, scientists have studied the human mind, trying to figure out exactly how memory functions. Andrew Lloyd Weber even immortalized the subject in the song “Memory” from the musical Cats. With barely any mental effort, memory helps us travel back in time to important events in our life; with its aid we can see our first day of high school, smell last winter’s fire, or taste yesterday’s lunch. But we also have the darker stories of our lives stored in our mind. Do these memories hinder our forward progress, or must we overcome them in order to grow as individuals?
Because memory is such a mystery to us, many authors have toyed with it in literature. Lois Lowry, in *The Giver*, describes a futuristic society in which one man, the Giver, holds the memories, both good and bad, of an entire community. Jonas, his successor, can only feel complete when he has been given both the good and the bad memories, both those of color and love as well as those of war and pain. The book's ultimate moral is that perhaps these memories are painful enough that one wants to suppress them; however, their absence makes the lessons they teach all the more meaningful—and left unlearned.

They say that history repeats itself, and it is absolutely true. History teachers constantly drill the horrors of slavery and segregation into our heads, to illustrate how far our race has come. However, World War II and Hitler’s Holocaust took place less than a century ago. Japanese Americans were sent into concentration camps less than a century ago. After 9/11, anti-Arab prejudice reached new peaks. Yes, history does repeat itself. Still, with the diversity of today’s world, perhaps the memories of the past can teach us once and for all—never again. These horrific memories, sadly, are necessary if we are to learn that lesson.

Many of today’s celebrities and world leaders have had some sort of problem growing up. Some had learning disabilities, some physical conditions, some difficult childhoods or a hard family life. Yet they rose above it to become who they are today... perhaps the memories were necessary for them to become better people. I myself never knew the real, harsh pain of losing a loved one until my uncle died from lung cancer two years ago. Though I still miss him and deeply regret his death, I believe it has made me stronger and because of him, I will never smoke.

Memories are the past—no more, no less. They are hazy recollections your mind keeps of what has happened, what cannot be changed. Good or bad, beneficial or painful, they are simply memories. What you make of them, what you get from them, is entirely up to you.

**After Reading**

3. Compare your notes with a partner, and add additional notes based on your discussion. With your partner, determine a revision plan for one of the two essays. Remember that even though these were top-scoring essays, the writers had only 25 minutes to complete them.

What revisions would you recommend to strengthen the arguments? Consult your notes from throughout the unit for guidance. Work with your partner to apply your revision plan to your selected essay.
Creating an Argument

Assignment
Your assignment is to develop an argument about an issue that resonates across cultures. You will choose a position, target audience, and effective genre to convey your argument to a wide audience.

Planning and Prewriting: Take time to make a plan for your essay.
• What further research will you have to do to support your claim?
• Have you stated your claim precisely and identified your counterclaims?
• Have you found sufficient evidence to support your claim?
• Who is your audience and what are their concerns that must be addressed as counterclaims?

Drafting: Determine the structure and organization of your essay.
• How will you organize your ideas?
• What transitions will you use to connect evidence and support for your claim?
• What counterclaims will you acknowledge, and what evidence do you have to refute them?

Revising: Compose your synthesis paper.
• Have you written a precise claim?
• Have you used valid and sufficient evidence to support your claim?
• Have you created an organization that shows a clear relationship among claim, counterclaim, reasons, and evidence?
• Does your conclusion follow from and support your argument?
• Have you maintained a formal style throughout?

Editing for Publication: Check that your paper is ready for publication.
• Have you included transitional words, phrases, and clauses to clarify and connect ideas?
• Have you consulted style guides to ensure that you are citing evidence correctly and using correct grammar and punctuation?
• Have you checked that all words are spelled correctly?

Reflection
What have you learned about the importance of audience in determining the way an argument is developed? How is logic and reasoning an important part of creating an argument?
## SCORING GUIDE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Incomplete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideas</strong></td>
<td>The argument • skillfully presents a claim and provides background and a clear explanation of the issue • synthesizes evidence from a variety of sources that strongly support the claim • summarizes and refutes counterclaims with relevant reasoning and clear evidence • concludes by clearly summarizing the main points and reinforcing the claim.</td>
<td>The argument • supports a claim that is clearly presented with appropriate background details • synthesizes evidence from multiple sources that support the claim • develops claims and counterclaims fairly and uses valid reasoning, relevant and sufficient evidence, and a variety of rhetorical appeals • concludes by revisiting the main points and reinforcing the claim.</td>
<td>The argument • states a claim but does not adequately explain the issue or provide background details • attempts to synthesize evidence from several sources that support the claim • develops some counterclaims, but reasoning may not be completely relevant or sufficient for the evidence cited • concludes by listing the main points of the thesis.</td>
<td>The argument • states a vague or unclear claim and does not explain the issue or provide background details • contains no synthesis of evidence from different sources to support the claim • may or may not develop counterclaims, and reasoning may not be relevant or sufficient for the evidence cited • concludes without restating the main points of the claim.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>The argument • follows a logical progression of ideas that establish relationships between the essential elements of hook, claim, evidence, counterclaims, and conclusion • links main points with effective transitions that establish coherence.</td>
<td>The argument • establishes clear relationships between the essential elements of hook, claim, evidence, counterclaims, and conclusion • uses transitions to link the major sections of the essay and create coherence.</td>
<td>The argument • demonstrates an awkward progression of ideas, but the reader can understand them • uses some elements of hook, claim, evidence, and conclusion • spends too much time on some irrelevant details and uses few transitions.</td>
<td>The argument • does not follow a logical organization • includes some details and elements of an argument, but the writing lacks clear direction and uses no transitions to help readers follow the line of thought.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of Language</strong></td>
<td>The argument • uses a formal style and tone appropriate to the audience and purpose • smoothly integrates textual evidence from multiple sources, with correct citations • shows excellent command of standard English capitalization, punctuation, spelling, grammar, and usage.</td>
<td>The argument • uses a formal style and tone appropriate to the audience and purpose • correctly cites textual evidence from at least three sources • follows conventions of standard English capitalization, punctuation, spelling, grammar, and usage.</td>
<td>The argument • mixes informal and formal writing styles • cites some textual evidence but citations may be missing or inaccurate • includes some incorrect capitalization, punctuation, spelling, grammar, or usage that interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>The argument • uses mostly informal writing style • uses some textual evidence but does not include citations • includes incorrect capitalization, punctuation, spelling, grammar, or usage that interfere with meaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>